

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Multivariate Analysis

Jo. mal of M lti ariate Anal i  $98 (2007) 40$  56

www.eleier.com/locate/jm a

# A mptotic ditribution of nonparametric regression e timator for longit dinal or fnctional data

Fang Yao

*Department of Statistics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA*

Recei ed 28 Jan ar 2005 A ailable online 28 September 2006

## **Abstract**

The e timation of a regression function by kernel method for longitudinal or functional data is considered. In the context of longit dinal data analysis, a random function typically represent a subject that is often ob er ed at a mall n mber of time point, while in the t die of f notional data the random realization is all measured on a dense grid. However, essentially the same methods can be applied to both sampling plan, a well a in a number of etting 1 ing between them. In this paper general results are derived for the a mptotic distribution of real-valued function  $\hat{y}$  it argument  $\hat{y}$  hich are functional formed by eighted a erage of longit dinal or f nctional data. A mptotic di tribution for the e timator of the mean and co ariance functions obtained from noist observation with the presence of within-subject correlation are t died. The ea mptotic normality result are comparable to those standard rate obtained from independent data, which i illustrated in a implation t.d. Beside, this paper discussed the conditions as ociated with ampling plan, which are required for the alidity of local properties of kernel-based estimators for longit dinal or fnctional data.

 $2006$  El e ier Inc. All right reerved.

*AMS 1991 subject classification:* 62G08

*Keywords:* A mptotic di tribution; Co ariance; Functional data; Longitudinal data; Regression; Within-bject correlation

# **1. Introduction**

Modern technolog and ad anced computing en *ironment* have facilitated the collection and anal i of high-dimensional data, or data that are repeatedly measured for a sample of subject. The repeated measurement are often recorded over a period of time, a on an closed and bounded inter al  $\mathcal T$ . It also could be a spacial ariable, such as in image or geoscience application.

*E-mail addresses:* f ao@ t tat.toronto.ed f ao@ tat.colo tate.ed.

When the data are recorded den el o extime, often by machine, the are t pically termed functional orcurve data with one observed curve or function per subject, while in longitudinal studies the repeated measurements usually take place on a few cattered observational time points for each bject. A ignificant intrin ic difference bet<sub>w</sub>een t<sub>w</sub>  $\ddot{o}$  etting lie in the perception that functional data are observed in the continuum without noise  $[2,3]$ , whereas longitudinal data are observed at par el dit ributed time point and are often subject to experimental error [\[4\].](#page-16-0) However, in prac-tice f nctional data are analyzed after moothing noist observation [\[10\],](#page-16-0) which indicate that the difference bet<sub>w</sub>een t<sub>w</sub> o datat pe related to the way in which a problem if perceived are arguable more conceptual than actual. Therefore in this paper, kernel-based regression estimators obtained from observations at discrete time points contaminated with measurement errors, rather than ob exation in the continuum, are considered for the exatition reason. In the context of kernelba ed nonparametric regression, the effect of ampling plans on the tatitical estimator are also in e tigated.

A a t literature has been developed in the past decade on the kernel-based regression for independent and identically distributed data, for summary, see Fan and Gijbel [\[5\].](#page-16-0) There has been b tantial recent intere t in extending the exitting a mptotic result to functional or lon-git dinal data [\[8,11,14,13,9\].](#page-16-0) The issue called by the within-subject correlation are rigorously addre ed in this paper. Hart and Wehrl [\[8\]](#page-16-0) t. died the Gaser–Muller estimator of the mean function for repeated measurement observed on a regular grid by a similar initial variable representationary correlation tr ct. re, and  $\ln_{\mathbf{Q}_s}$  ed that the influence of the within-subject correlation on the asymptotic ariance i of maller order compared to the tandard rate obtained from independent data and w ill di appear when the correlation function is differentiable at ero. Our assumptotic distribution re lt i in fact con i tent with that in Hart and Wehrl [\[8\]](#page-16-0) and applicable for general co anance tr ct  $\kappa_{\kappa}$  ithout tationary assumption. This problem  $_{\kappa}$  as also discussed by Stani $_{\kappa}$  alisonary and Lee [\[12\]](#page-16-0) and Lin and Carroll [\[9\],](#page-16-0) where the ed the heuristic argument of the local property of local polynomial estimation and intuitively ignored the within-subject correlation while deriving the a mptotic ariance. This paper derives appropriate conditions that are req i.ed for the alidit of the local property of kernel type e timator obtained from longitudinal or functional data. The e condition also provide practical guideline for arious ampling procede:

The contribution of this paper is the derivation of general as motoric distribution results in both one-dimensional and  $t_k$  o-dimensional moothing context for real-valued function with arg ment which are functional formed by eighted a erage of longitudinal or functional data. The e a mptotic normality results are comparable to those obtained for identically distributed and independent data. The e result are applied to the kernel-based estimator of the mean and co ariance functions, which ield a mptotic normal distribution of the ee timator. In particlar, to the best of our knowledge, no a mptotic distribution result are a ailable up to date for nonparametric e timation of co ariance function obtained from longitudinal or functional data contaminated with measurement error. By comparison, Hall et al. [\[6,7\]](#page-16-0) in e-tigated as mptotic properties of nonparametric kernel estimators of a toco-ariance, where the measurement were only observed from a single stationary stochastic process or random field. Although the asymptotic di tribution are derived for random de ign in this paper, the argument can be extended to fixed de ign and other ampling plan  $_{\rm x}$  ith appropriate modification, and a mptotic bia and aziance term can also be obtained in imilar manner. This ill provide theoretical basis and practical guidance for the nonparametric analysis of functional or longitudinal data, it himportant potential application which are based on the asymptotic distribution. T pical  $\alpha$  amples include the construction of a methodic confidence band for regression functions and confidence region

for co ariance strates, and also fast election of band<sub>s</sub> idth for covariance strate estimation ba ed on a mptotic mean q ared error. Other application in the context of moothing independent data can be  $\alpha$  plored for the moothing of longitudinal or functional data using kernel-based  $e$  timator.

The remainder of the paper i organized as follow. In Section  $2<sub>w</sub>$  ederive the general as mptotic di tribution of one- and  $\mu_{\rm g}$  o-dimensional moother obtained from longitudinal or functional data for random de ign. The e general a mptotic result are applied to commonly ed kernel-t pe e timator of the mean curve and covariance surface in Section 3. Extension to fixed design is dic ed in Section 4. A im lation t. d i pre ented to e al ate the derived a mptotic re. It for correlated data in Section 5, while dicumbentum including potential application of the resulting a mptotic normalit, are offered in Section 6.

## **2. General results of asymptotic distributions for random design**

In this ection we ewill define general functional that are kernel-weighted a erage of the data for one-dimensional and  $t<sub>x</sub>$  o-dimensional moothing. The introduced general functional include the most commonly ed types of kernel-based estimator as special cases, channel Ga  $e\mathcal{F}M$  lle $\mathcal{F}e$  timator, Nadara a–Waton e timator, local polynomial estimator, etc. Since Nadara a Waton and local polynomial estimator are mostly used in practice, their a mptotic behavior in terms of bias and variance for independent data have been thoroughl t died in  $\alpha$ , i ting literature. Ho<sub>w</sub> e ex for longitudinal or functional data, particularly in regard to co ariance strate estimator, the asymptotic behavior of bias and variance of the e  $t_{\kappa}$  o commonl ed e timator are till largel nknown. Therefore in Section 3, the general a mptotic result de eloped in this ection are applied to Nadara a–Waston and local pol nomial e timator in both one-dimensional and  $t_{\rm w}$  o-dimensional moothing etting. In particular, the lack of a mptotic results for the covariance surface estimators of longitudinal or f notional data i an additional moti ation for the definition of the  $t_{\kappa}$  o-dimensional general functional that can be applied to de elop the a mptotic diterior for the e  $e$  timator.

We firt consider random design while  $\alpha$  tension to other ampling plans is deferred to Section 4. In cla ical longit dinal t die, measurement are often intended to be on a regular time grid.  $H_{\mathbf{Q}_r}$  e ex ince individual may miss cheduled in the resulting data usually become parte, where only few observations are obtained for most subjects, with nequal number of repeated measurement in per subject and different measurement times  $T_{ij}$  per individual. This sampling <sub>x</sub> i108432.1Tf26.74983.2606Tj7.ion629j/F[1Tf9.962601.4249Tj7.ij/F01Tf94.74980.506Tj7.T(<sub>k</sub> Tf94.7498 <span id="page-3-0"></span>ariance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$
Y_{ij} = X_i(T_{ij}) + \varepsilon_{ij} = \mu(T_{ij}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{ik} \phi_k(T_{ij}) + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad T_{ij} \in \mathcal{T},
$$
 (1)

where  $E\epsilon_{ij} = 0$ ,  $var(\epsilon_{ij}) = \sigma^2$ , and the n mber of observations,  $N_i(n)$  depending on the ample i e *n*, are considered random. We make the following assumptions,

(A1.1) The n mber of observation  $N_i(n)$  made for the *i*th subject or cluster, *i* = 1,...,*n*, is a r.

 $\lim_{k \to \infty}$  i.i.d  $N(n)$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} N(n) > 0$  i a po it i e integer-valued random variable with lim  $p_{n\to\infty} E N(n)^2 / [\dot{E} N(n)]^2 < \infty$  and lim  $p_{n\to\infty} E N(n)^4 / E N(n) E N(n)^3 < \infty$ .

In the eq. elthe dependence of  $N_i(n)$  and  $N(n)$  on the ample i e *n* i ppre ed for implicit; i.e.,  $N_i = N_i(n)$  and  $N(n) = N$ . The observation times and measurements are assumed to be independent of the n mber of measurement, i.e., for any subset *J<sub>i</sub>*  $\subseteq$  {1, ..., *N<sub>i</sub>*} and for all  $i=1,\ldots,n$ ,

*(A1.2)*  $({T_{ij} : j \in J_i}, {Y_{ij} : j \in J_i})$  i independent of  $N_i$ . Writing  $T_i = (T_{i1}, \ldots, T_{iN_i})^T$  and  $Y_i = (Y_{i1}, \ldots, Y_{iN_i})^T$ , it i eas to see that the triple  ${T_i, Y_i, N_i}$  are i.i.d..

## *2.1. Asymptotic normality of one-dimensional smoother*

To a sme appropriate regularity conditions that are used to derive as imptotic properties, we define a ne<sub>w</sub> t pe of continuit that differs from those which are commonly ed. We a that a real function  $f(x, y)$ :  $\Re^{p+q} \to \Re i$  continuous on  $x \in A \subseteq \Re^p$  informly in  $y \in \Re^q$ , provided that for an  $x \in A$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there  $\alpha$ , it a neighborhood of x not depending on y, a ing  $U(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ , ch that  $|f(x', y) - f(x, y)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x' \in U(x)$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

For random design,  $(T_{ij}, Y_{ij})$  are assumed to have the identical distribution as  $(T, Y)_{\kappa}$  ith joint den it  $g(t, y)$ . A me that the observation time  $T_{ij}$  are i.i.d. with the marginal density  $f(t)$ , b t dependence i allo<sub>x</sub> ed among  $Y_{ij}$  and  $Y_{ik}$  that are observations made for the same subject or cluster. Also denote the joint density of  $(T_j, T_k, Y_j, Y_k)$  by  $g_2(t_1, t_2, y_1, y_2)$ , where  $j \neq k$ . Let *v*, *k* be given integer, with  $0 \le v < k$ . We as sume regularity conditions for the marginal and joint den it is  $f(t)$ ,  $g(t, y)$ ,  $g_2(t_1, t_2, y_1, y_2)$  and the mean function of the underlying proce  $X(t)$ , i.e.,  $E[X(t)] = \mu(t)$ , with respect to a neighborhood of a interior point  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , as using that there  $\alpha$ , it a neighborhood  $U(t)$  of  $t$  ch that:

- (B1.1)  $\frac{d^k}{du^k} f(u) \propto \text{i} \cdot \text{i}$  and i continuous on  $u \in U(t)$ , and  $f(u) > 0$  for  $u \in U(t)$ ;
- (B1.2)  $g(u, y)$  i continuous on  $u \in U(t)$  uniformly in  $y \in \mathfrak{R}$ ;  $\frac{d^k}{du^k} g(u, y)$   $\propto$  it and i continuous on  $u \in U(t)$  uniformly in  $y \in \Re;$
- (B1.3)  $g_2(u, v, y_1, y_2)$  i continuous on  $(u, v) \in U(t)^2$  uniformly in  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ;
- (B1.4)  $\frac{d^k}{du^k}\mu(u) \propto \text{i} \cdot \text{i} \text{ and } \text{i} \text{ contin } \text{o} \text{ on } u \in U(t).$

Let  $K_1(\cdot)$  be nonnegative univariate kernel functions in one-dimensional moothing. The amption for kernel  $K_1 : \mathfrak{R} \to \mathfrak{R}$  are a follo<sub>x</sub>. We a that a ni ariate kernel function  $K_1$  is of order  $(v, k)$ , if

$$
\int u^{\ell} K_1(u) du = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq \ell < k, \quad \ell \neq v, \\ (-1)^v v!, & \ell = v, \\ \neq 0, & \ell = k, \end{cases} \tag{2}
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>(B2.1) *K*<sub>1</sub> i compactly powed,  $||K_1||^2 = \int K_1^2(u) du < \infty$ ; (B2.2)  $K_1$  i a kernel f notion of order  $(v, \ell)$ .

Let  $b = b(n)$  be a eq ence of band<sub>u</sub> idth that are ed in one-dimensional moothing. We de elop a mptotic a  $n \to \infty$ , and require

(B3)  $b \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n(EN)b^{v+1} \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $b(EN) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $n(EN)b^{2k+1} \rightarrow d^2$  for ome d<sub>w</sub> ith  $0 \leq d < \infty$ .

One could see in the proof of Theorem 1 that the assumption (B3) combined with (A1.1) provide the condition ch that the local property of kernel-type estimators hold for longitudinal or f nctional data with the pre-ence of within-subject correlation.

Let  $\{\psi_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}=1,\dots,l}$  be a collection of real function  $\psi_{\lambda}:\Re^2\to\Re_{\nu_{\kappa}}$  hich at f:

(B4.1)  $\psi_{\lambda}(t, y)$  are contin o on {*t*} niforml in  $y \in \mathfrak{R}$ ; (B4.2)  $\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \psi_\lambda(t, y)$   $\alpha$ , it for all argument  $(t, y)$  and are continuous on  $\{t\}$ , niformly in  $y \in \Re$ .

Then  $_{\rm w}$  e define the general  $_{\rm w}$  eighted a erage

$$
\Psi_{\lambda n} = \frac{1}{nENb^{\nu+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \psi_{\lambda}(T_{ij}, Y_{ij}) K_1\left(\frac{t - T_{ij}}{b}\right), \quad \lambda = 1, ..., l.
$$

and

$$
\mu_{\lambda} = \mu_{\lambda}(t) = \frac{d^{\nu}}{dt^{\nu}} \int \psi_{\lambda}(t, y) g(t, y) dy, \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, l.
$$

Let

$$
\sigma_{\kappa\lambda} = \sigma_{\kappa\lambda}(t) = \int \psi_{\kappa}(t, y) \psi_{\lambda}(t, y) g(t, y) dy \|K_1\|^2, \quad 1 \leq \lambda, \kappa \leq l,
$$

and  $H : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}$  be a finction with continuous first order derivatives. We denote the gradient ector  $((\partial H/\partial x_1)(v), \dots, (\partial H/\partial x_l)(v))^T$  b  $DH(v)$  and  $\overline{N} = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i/n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If the assumptions* (A1.1), (A1.2) *and* (B1.1)–(B4.2) *hold*, *then*

$$
\sqrt{n\bar{N}b^{2\nu+1}}[H(\Psi_{1n},\ldots,\Psi_{ln})-H(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(\beta,[DH(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)]^T
$$
  

$$
\Sigma[DH(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)]),
$$
 (3)

*where*

$$
\beta = \frac{(-1)^k d}{k!} \int u^k K_1(u) du \sum_{\lambda=1}^l \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu_\lambda} \{(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)^T\} \frac{d^{k-\nu}}{dt^{k-\nu}} \mu_\lambda(t), \quad \Sigma = (\sigma_{\kappa\lambda})_{1 \leq \kappa, \lambda \leq l}.
$$

**Proof.** It is seen that  $\bar{N}$  can be replaced with *EN* by Slutsky Theorem nder (A1.1). We now show that

$$
\sqrt{n(EN)b^{2\nu+1}}[H(E\Psi_{1n},\ldots,E\Psi_{ln})-H(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)]\longrightarrow\beta.
$$
\n(4)

Since (A1.1) and (A1.2) hold, and  $K_1$  i of order  $(v, k)$ , ung Ta lor  $\alpha$  pansion to order *k*, one obtain

$$
E\Psi_{\lambda n} = \frac{1}{nb^{\nu+1}} E\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \psi_{\lambda}(T_{ij}, Y_{ij}) K_1 \left( \frac{t - T_{ij}}{b} \right) \right\}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{b^{\nu+1} EN} E\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} E\left[ \psi_{\lambda}(T_j, Y_j) K_1 \left( \frac{t - T_j}{b} \right) \middle| N \right] \right\}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{1}{b^{\nu+1}} E\left\{ \psi_{\lambda}(T, Y) K_1 \left( \frac{t - T}{b} \right) \right\}
$$
  

$$
= \mu_{\lambda} + \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int u^k K_1(u) du \frac{d^{k-v}}{dt^{k-v}} \mu_{\lambda}(t) b^{k-v} + o(b^{k-v}).
$$
 (5)

Then [\(4\)](#page-4-0) follo<sub>x</sub> from an *l*-dimensional Taylor expansion of *H* of order 1 around  $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l)^T$ , co pled with [\(5\)](#page-4-0). If we can  $\log$ 

$$
\sqrt{n(EN)b^{2\nu+1}}[(\Psi_{1n},\ldots,\Psi_{ln})^T - (E\Psi,\ldots,E\Psi_{ln})^T] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma),
$$
\n(6)

in analog to Bhattachar a and M ller [\[1\],](#page-16-0) and continuity of *DH* at  $(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l)^T$  and appl-ing imilar argument ed in [\(5\)](#page-4-0), we find  $DH(E\Psi_{1n},...,E\Psi_{1n}) \to DH(\mu_1,...,\mu_l)$ . Then Carm  $r$  Wold de ice ield

$$
\sqrt{n(EN)b^{2\nu+1}}[H(\Psi_{1n},\ldots,\Psi_{ln}) - H(E\Psi,\ldots,E\Psi_{ln})] \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(0,DH(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)^T
$$
  
\n
$$
\Sigma DH(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l)),
$$
\n(7)

combined with [\(4\)](#page-4-0), leading to [\(3\)](#page-4-0).

It remain to  $\ln(\cos(6))$ . Observing (A1.1) and (A1.2), one has

$$
n(EN)b^{2\nu+1}cov(\Psi_{\lambda n}, \Psi_{\kappa n})
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{b}E\left\{\frac{1}{EN}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N}\psi_{\lambda}(T_j, Y_j)K_1\left(\frac{t-T_j}{b}\right)\right]\left[\sum_{k=1}^{N}\psi_{\kappa}(T_k, Y_k)K_1\left(\frac{t-T_k}{b}\right)\right]\right\}$   

$$
-\frac{EN}{b}E\left[\frac{1}{EN}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\psi_{\lambda}(T_j, Y_j)K_1\left(\frac{t-T_j}{b}\right)\right]
$$
  

$$
\times E\left[\frac{1}{EN}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\psi_{\kappa}(T_k, Y_k)K_1\left(\frac{t-T_k}{b}\right)\right]
$$
  

$$
\equiv I_1 - I_2.
$$

It i ob io that  $I_2 = O(b) = o(1)$  from the derivation of [\(5\)](#page-4-0). For  $I_1$ , it can be written as

$$
I_1 = \frac{1}{b} E\left[\frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j=1}^N \psi_\lambda(T_j, Y_j) \psi_\kappa(T_j, Y_j) K_1^2 \left(\frac{t - T_j}{b}\right)\right]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{b} E\left[\frac{1}{EN} \sum_{1 \le j \ne k \le N} \psi_\lambda(T_j, Y_j) \psi_\kappa(T_k, Y_k) K_1 \left(\frac{t - T_j}{b}\right) K_1 \left(\frac{t - Y_k}{b}\right)\right]
$$
  
=  $Q_1 + Q_2$ .

Appl ing  $(A1.1)$  and  $(A1.2)$ , one has

$$
Q_1 = \frac{1}{b} E \left\{ \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j=1}^N E \left[ \psi_{\lambda}(T_j, Y_j) \psi_{\kappa}(T_j, Y_j) K_1^2 \left( \frac{t - T_j}{b} \right) \middle| N \right] \right\}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{b} E \left[ \psi_{\lambda}(T, Y) \psi_{\kappa}(T, Y) K_1^2 \left( \frac{t - Y}{b} \right) \right] = \sigma_{\lambda \kappa} + o(1).
$$

Then (4)<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> ill hold, ob ering (A1.1) and the follo<sub> $\kappa$ </sub> ing argument that guarantee the local property of the kernel-based estimator with the presence of within-subject correlation in longitudinal or f nctional data,

$$
Q_{2} = \frac{1}{bEN}E\left\{\sum_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq N}^{N} E\left[\psi_{\lambda}(T_{j}, Y_{j})\psi_{\kappa}(T_{k}, Y_{k})K_{1}\left(\frac{t - T_{j}}{b}\right)K_{1}\left(\frac{t - T_{k}}{b}\right)\middle|N\right]\right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{EN(N - 1)}{bEN} E\left[\psi_{\lambda}(T_{1}, Y_{1})\psi_{\kappa}(T_{2}, Y_{2})K_{1}\left(\frac{t - T_{1}}{b}\right)\right]K_{1}\left(\frac{t - T_{2}}{b}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{bEN(N - 1)}{EN}\int_{\Re^{4}} \psi_{\lambda}(t - ub, y_{1})\psi_{\kappa}(t - vb, y_{2})K_{1}(u)K_{2}(v)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times g_{2}(t - ub, t - vb, y_{1}, y_{2}) du dv dy_{1} dy_{2}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{bEN(N - 1)}{EN}\int_{\Re^{2}} \psi_{\lambda}(t, y_{1})\psi_{\kappa}(t, y_{2})g_{2}(t, t, y_{1}, y_{2}) dy_{1} dy_{2} + o(b) = o(1),
$$

i.e., the within-bject correlation can be ignored while deriving the a mptotic variance.  $\Box$ 

## *2.2. Asymptotic normality of two-dimensional smoother*

The general a mptotic result can be extended to  $t_k$  o-dimensional moothing. Let  $(v, k)$  denote the m lti-indice  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  and  $k = (k_1, k_2)$ ,  $v_k$  here  $|v| = v_1 + v_2$  and  $|k| = k_1 + k_2$ . In  $t_{\kappa}$  o-dimensional moothing, more regularities assumptions are needed for joint densities. Let  $f_2(s, t)$  be the joint den it of  $(T_j, T_k)$ , and  $g_4(s, t, s', t', y_1, y_2, y'_1, y'_2)$  the joint den it of  $(T_j, T_k, T_{j'}, T_{k'}, Y_j, Y_k, Y_{j'}, Y_{k'})$  where  $j \neq k$ ,  $(j, k) \neq (j', k')$ . Denote the covariance surface b  $C(s, t) = cov(X(T_j), X(T_k)|T_j = s, T_k = t)$ . The follo<sub>w</sub> ing regularity conditions are a med<sub>y</sub>, here  $U(s, t)$  is ome neighborhood of  ${(s, t)}$ ,

(C1.1) 
$$
\frac{d^{|k|}}{du^{k_1} dv^{k_2}} f_2(u, v) \propto \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{i}
$$
 and  $\mathbf{i}$  contain  $\mathbf{o} \cdot \mathbf{o} \cdot (u, v) \in U(s, t)$ , and  $f_2(u, v) > 0$  for  $(u, v) \in U(s, t)$ ;

- $(C1.2)$   $g_2(u, v, y_1, y_2)$  i continuous on  $(u, v) \in U(s, t)$  uniformly in  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ;  $\frac{d^{|k|}}{du^{k_1} dv^{k_2}}$  $g_2(u, v, y_1, y_2)$   $\alpha$ , it and i continuous on  $(u, v) \in U(s, t)$  uniformly in  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ;
- (C1.3)  $g_4(u, v, u', v', y_1, y_2, y'_1, y'_2)$  i continuous on  $(u, v, u', v') \in U(s, t)^2$  uniformly in  $(y_1, y_2, y'_1, y'_2) \in \mathfrak{R}^4;$
- (C1.4)  $\frac{d^{k}}{du^{k_1} dv^{k_2}} C(u, v) \propto \mathbf{i} \mathbf{t}$  and i continuous on  $(u, v) \in U(s, t)$ .

Let  $K_2$  be nonnegative bivariate kernel functions used in the  $t_{\kappa}$  o-dimensional moothing. The a mption for kernel  $K_2$  are a follows,

- (C2.1)  $K_2$  i compacted provided with  $||K_2||^2 = \int_{\Re^2} K_2^2(u, v) du dv < \infty$ , and i mmetric with respect to coordinate *u* and *v*.
- (C2.2)  $K_2$  i a kernel function of order  $(|v|, |k|)$ , i.e.,

$$
\sum_{\ell_1+\ell_2=|l|} \int_{\Re^2} u^{\ell_1} v^{\ell_2} K_2(u,v) du dv = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le |l| < |k|, |l| \ne |v|, \\ (-1)^{|v|} |v|!, & |l| = |v|, \\ \ne 0, & |l| = |k|. \end{cases}
$$
(8)

Let  $h = h(n)$  be a eq ence of band<sub>s</sub> idth ed in t<sub>w</sub> o-dimensional moothing, while it i possible that the band<sub>w</sub> idths ed for  $\int_{\mathbf{w}} \rho$  arguments may be different. Since  $\int_{\mathbf{w}} e_{\mathbf{w}}$  ill focus on the e timator of the covariance surface that is mmetric about the diagonal, it is sufficient to con ider the identical band<sub>w</sub> idths for the t<sub>w</sub> o argument. The a mptotic is developed a  $n \to \infty$ a follows:

(C3) 
$$
h \to 0
$$
,  $nEN^2h^{|v|+2} \to \infty$ ,  $hEN^3 \to 0$ , and  $nE[N(N-1)]h^{2|k|+2} \to e^2$  for one  $0 \le e < \infty$ .

Similar to the one-dimensional moothing case, as mption  $(C3)$  and  $(A1.1)$  garantee the local propert of the bivariate kernel-based estimator with the presence of within-subject correlation. Let  $\{\phi_{\lambda}\}_{{\lambda}=1,\ldots,l}$  be a collection of real function  $\phi_{\lambda} : \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^4 \to \mathbb{R}, \lambda = 1,\ldots,l$ , at fing

- (C4.1)  $\phi_{\lambda}(s, t, y_1, y_2)$  are continuous on  $\{(s, t)\}\$ . niformly in  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ;
- $(C4.2)$   $\frac{d^k}{ds^k! \, dt^k} \phi_\lambda(s, t, y_1, y_2)$  exit for all argument  $(s, t, y_1, y_2)$  and are continuous on  $\{(s, t)\}$  $\text{inform1}$  in  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

Then the general weighted a erage of  $t_{\kappa}$  o-dimen ional moothing are defined b, for  $1 \le \lambda \le l$ ,

$$
\Phi_{\lambda n} = \Phi_{\lambda n}(t, s) = \frac{1}{n E[N(N-1)]h^{|v|+2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \le j \ne k \le N_i} \phi_{\lambda}(T_{ij}, T_{ik}, Y_{ij}, Y_{ik})
$$

$$
\times K_2\left(\frac{s - T_{ij}}{h}, \frac{t - T_{ik}}{h}\right).
$$

Let

$$
m_{\lambda} = m_{\lambda}(s, t) = \sum_{v_1 + v_2 = |v|} \frac{d^{|v|}}{ds^{v_1} dt^{v_2}} \int_{\Re^2} \phi_{\lambda}(s, t, y_1, y_2) g_2(s, t, y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2, \quad 1 \le \lambda \le l,
$$

and

$$
\omega_{\kappa\lambda} = \omega_{\kappa\lambda}(s, t) = \int_{\Re^2} \phi_{\kappa}(s, t, y_1, y_2) \phi_{\lambda}(s, t, y_1, y_2) g_2(s, t, y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ||K_2||^2,
$$
  

$$
1 \leq \kappa, \lambda \leq l,
$$

and  $H : \mathbb{R}^l \to \mathbb{R}$  is a function with continuous first order derivatives as previously defined.

**Theorem 2.** *If assumptions* (A1.1), (A1.2) *and* (C1.1)–(C4.2) *hold*, *then*

$$
\sqrt{n\bar{N}(\bar{N}-1)h^{2|\nu|+2}}[H(\Phi_{1n},\ldots,\Phi_{ln})-H(m_1,\ldots,m_l)]
$$
  

$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(\gamma,[DH(m_1,\ldots,m_l)]^T\Omega[DH(m_1,\ldots,m_l)]),
$$
 (9)

*where*

$$
\gamma = \frac{(-1)^{|k|}e}{|k|!} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{l} \left\{ \sum_{k_1+k_2=k} \int_{\Re^2} u^{k_1} v^{k_2} K_2(u,v) du dv \frac{d^{|k|}}{ds^{k_1} dt^{k_2}} \right\}
$$

$$
\times \int_{\Re^2} \phi_{\lambda}(s,t,y_1,y_2) g_2(s,t,y_1,y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \right\}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial m_{\lambda}} (m_1,\ldots,m_l)^T \right\},
$$

$$
\Omega = (\omega_{\kappa\lambda})_{1 \leq \kappa \leq l}.
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 e entially follows that of Theorem  $1_{\kappa}$  ith appropriate modification which are required for  $t_k$  o-dimensional moothing.

# **3. Applications to nonparametric regression estimators for functional or longitudinal data**

Although arious extions of kernel-based estimators have been introduced in literature, Nadara a–Waston and local polynomial, e pecially local linear estimators, are the most commonly used non-parametric moothing techniques in longitudinal or functional data analysis. D eto<sub>x</sub> ithin-bject correlation, the a mptotic behavior in terms of bia and variance of the e e timator for noi il observed longitudinal or functional data has et been a well not not be to be entity of understood a for i.i.d. data. E peciall, a mptotic result for covariance e timator do not  $\alpha$ , i.e. Therefore in this ection, we apply the asymptotic results developed for general functional to Nadara a Wa ton and local linear e timator of regression function and covariance surface to obtain their a mptotic di tribution.

### *3.1. Asymptotic distributions of mean estimators*

We apply Theorem [1](#page-4-0) to the local asymptotic distributions of the commonly ed Nadara a Wa ton kernel e timator  $\hat{\mu}_N(t)$  and local linear e timator  $\hat{\mu}_L(t)$  for functional/longitudinal

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

<span id="page-9-0"></span>data:

$$
\hat{\mu}_{N}(t) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} K_{1} \left( \frac{t - T_{ij}}{b} \right) Y_{ij} \right] / \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{i}} K_{1} \left( \frac{t - T_{ij}}{b} \right) \right],
$$
\n(10)

$$
\hat{\mu}_{\mathcal{L}}(t) = \hat{\alpha}_0(t) = \underset{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)}{\arg \min} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} K_1 \left( \frac{t - T_{ij}}{b} \right) [Y_{ij} - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (T_{ij} - t))]^2 \right\}.
$$
 (11)

**Corollary 1.** *If assumptions* (A1.1), (A1.2), *and* (B1.1) (B3) *hold with*  $v = 0$  *and*  $k = 2$ , *then* 

$$
\sqrt{n\bar{N}}b[\hat{\mu}_{N}(t)-\mu(t)] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{d}{2}\frac{\mu^{(2)}(t)f(t)+2\mu^{(1)}(t)f^{(1)}(t)}{f(t)}\sigma_{K_{1}}^{2},\frac{var(Y|T=t)\|K_{1}\|^{2}}{f(t)}\right),\tag{12}
$$

*where d is as in* (B3), <sup>2</sup> *<sup>K</sup>*<sup>1</sup> <sup>=</sup> *<sup>u</sup>*2*K*1*(u) du arianc8s4 8.99 ofTf 8.99 th84 8.99 uncorrelated9626 -2655035 (,)c* imc8s48.99ofTf8.99th848.99uncorrelated9626-2655035(,)c

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Here  $w_{ij} = K_1((t - T_{ij})/b)/(nb)$ , where  $K_1$  is a kernel function of order  $(0, 2)$ , at if ing (B2.1) and (B2.2), and  $\hat{\alpha}_1(t)$  i an e timator for the first derivative  $\mu'(t)$  of  $\mu$  at *t*.

Observing that Corollar [1](#page-9-0) implies  $\hat{\mu}_N(t) \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \mu(t)$ , let  $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_i \sum_j w_{ij} / N_i$ , it is easy  $\ln q$   $\hat{f}(t) \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} f(t)$  in analog to Corollar [1.](#page-9-0) We proceed to  $\ln q$   $\hat{a}_1(t) \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} \mu'(t)$ . Denote  $\sigma_{K_1}^2 = \int u^2 K_1(u) du$ , the kemel f notion  $\widetilde{K}_1(t) = -t K_1(t)/\sigma_{K_1}^2$ , and define  $\Psi_{\lambda n}$ ,  $1 \le \lambda \le 3$  b  $\psi_1(u, y) = y, \psi_2(u, y) \equiv 1, \psi_3(u, y) = u - t$ . Observe that  $\widetilde{K}_1$  is of order  $(1, 3), \hat{f}(t) \stackrel{p}{\to} f(t)$ , and define

*.*

$$
\widetilde{H}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 - x_2 \hat{\mu}_N(t)}{x_3 - bx_2^2 / \hat{f}(t) \cdot \sigma_{K_1}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{x_1 - x_2 \mu(t)}{x_3}
$$

Then

$$
\hat{\alpha}_1(t) = \widetilde{H}(\Psi_{1n}, \Psi_{2n}, \Psi_{3n}) \n= \left[ H(\Psi_{1n}, \Psi_{2n}, \Psi_{3n}) + \frac{\Psi_{2n}(\mu(t) - \hat{\mu}_N(t))}{\Psi_{3n}} \right] \frac{\Psi_{3n}}{\Psi_{3n} + b^2 \Psi_{2n}^2 / \hat{f}(t) \cdot \sigma_{K_1}^2}.
$$

Note that  $\mu_1 = (\mu' f + mf') (t), \mu_2 = f'(t), \text{ and } \mu_3 = f(t), \text{impl } \lim_{h \to 0} \Psi_{\lambda h} - \mu_{\lambda} = O_p(1)$  $\sqrt{n\bar{N}b^3}$ , for  $\lambda = 1, 2, 3, b$  Theorem [1.](#page-4-0) U ing *Slutsky's* Theorem,  $|\widetilde{H}(\Psi_{1n}, \Psi_{2n}, \Psi_{3n}) - \mu'(t)| =$  $O_p(1/\sqrt{nNb^3})$  follo<sub>x</sub>.

For the a mptotic ditribution of  $\hat{\mu}_L$ , note that

$$
\hat{\mu}_{\mathrm{L}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij} Y_{ij} - \sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij} (T_{ij} - t) \hat{a}_{1}(t)}{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij}}.
$$

Con ide*r*ing  $\sqrt{n\bar{N}b} \sum_i \frac{1}{EN} \sum_i$  $j$  *w*<sub>ij</sub> (*T*<sub>ij</sub> − *t*) =  $\sqrt{nN}b\sigma_{K_1}^2b^2\Psi_{2n}$ . Since  $\tilde{K}_1$  i of order (1, 3), Theorem [1](#page-4-0) implie  $\Psi_{2n} = f'(t) + O_p(1/\sqrt{n\bar{N}b^3})$ , this ield  $\sqrt{n\bar{N}b}\sigma_{K_1}^2 b^2 \Psi_{2n} = \sqrt{n\bar{N}b^5}\sigma_{K_1}^2$  $f'(t) + \sigma_{K_1}^2 O_p(b) = o_p(1) b$  observing  $n\bar{N}b^5 \rightarrow d^2$  for  $0 \le d < \infty$ . Since  $\hat{f}(t) \stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} f(t)$  and  $|\hat{\alpha}_1(t) - \mu'(t)| = O_p(1/\sqrt{n\bar{N}b^3}) = o_p(1),$  e find

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n \bar{N} b} [\hat{\mu}_{L}(t) - \mu(t)] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n \bar{N} b}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij} Y_{ij} - \mu'(t) \sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij} T_{ij} + t \mu'(t) \sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij}}{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{EN} \sum_{j} w_{ij}} - \mu(t) \right\}.
$$

U ing the kernel  $K_1$  of order  $(0, 2)$ ,  $\kappa$  e re-define  $\Psi_{\lambda n}$ ,  $1 \leq \lambda \leq 3$ , through  $\psi_1(u, y) = y$ ,  $\psi_2(u, y) = u$  and  $\psi_3(u, y) = 1$ , etting  $v = 0, k = 2, l = 3$  and  $H(x_1, x_2, x_3) = [x_1 \mu'(t)x_2 + t\mu'(t)x_3]/x_3$ . Then [\(13\)](#page-9-0) follo<sub>x</sub> b appl ing Theorem [1.](#page-4-0)  $\Box$ 

## *3.2. Asymptotic distributions of covariance estimators*

Note that in model [\(1\)](#page-3-0),  $cov(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik} | T_{ij}, T_{ik}) = cov(X(T_{ij}), X(T_{ik})) + \sigma^2 \delta_{jk}$ , where  $\delta_{jl}$  i 1 if  $j = k$  and 0 othe $\zeta_i$  i e. Let  $C_{ijk} = (Y_{ij} - \hat{\mu}(T_{ij})) (Y_{ik} - \hat{\mu}(T_{ik}))$  be the  $\zeta_i$  co aziance  $\zeta_i$  here  $\hat{\mu}(t)$  is the estimated mean function obtained from the previous step, for instance,  $\hat{\mu}(t) = \hat{\mu}_N(t)$  or  $\hat{\mu}(t) = \hat{\mu}_L(t)$ . It is easy to see that  $E[C_{ijk} | T_{ij}, T_{ik}] \approx cov(X(T_{ij}), X(T_{ik})) + \sigma^2 \delta_{ik}$ . Therefore,

<span id="page-11-0"></span>the diagonal of the  $r_{\text{q}}$  co aziance ho ld be zemoved, i.e., only  $C_{ijk}$ ,  $j \neq k$ , ho ld be included a input data for the covariance state smoothing tep, a previously observed in Stani<sub>x</sub> ali and Lee [\[12\]](#page-16-0) and Yao et al. [\[15\].](#page-16-0)

Commonly ed nonparametric regression estimators of the covariance state,  $C(s, t)$  =  $E\{[X(T_1) - \mu(T_1)][X(T_2) - \mu(T_2)|T_1 = s, T_2 = t]\},$  are the t<sub>w</sub> o-dimensional Nadara a–Waston e timator and local linear e timator defined a follows:

$$
\widehat{C}_{N}(s,t) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k} K_{2}\left(\frac{s - T_{ij}}{h}, \frac{t - T_{ik}}{h}\right) C_{ijk}\right] / \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k} K_{2}\left(\frac{s - T_{ij}}{h}, \frac{t - T_{ik}}{h}\right)\right],
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{C}_{L}(s,t) = \widehat{\beta}_{0}(s,t) = \arg\min_{\beta} \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq k} K_{2}\left(\frac{s - T_{ij}}{h}, \frac{t - T_{ik}}{h}\right)\right\}
$$
\n(16)

$$
\times [C_{ijk} - f(\beta, (s, t), T_{ik}))] \left\{ U \setminus \prod_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} V_{ij} \right\} \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} V_{ij} \right\} \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k} V_{ij} \right\} \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} V_{ij} \right\} \left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^{k}
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span> $\phi_1(t_1, t_2, y_1, y_2) = (y_1 - \mu(t_1))(y_2 - \mu(t_2)), \phi_2(t_1, t_2, y_1, y_2) = y_1 - \mu(t_1), \text{ and } \phi_3(t_1, t_2, y_1, y_2)$  $\equiv$ 1, then  $p_{t,s \in \mathcal{T}} |\Phi_{pn}| = O_p(1)$ , for  $p = 1, 2, 3$ , b Lemma 1 of Yao et al. [\[16\].](#page-16-0) Thi implie that  $p_{t,s\in\mathcal{T}} |\Phi_{2n}| O_p(1/(\sqrt{n}b)) = O_p(1/(\sqrt{n}b))$  and  $p_{t,s\in\mathcal{T}} |\Phi_{3n}| O_p(1/(\sqrt{n}b)) =$ *Op(*1*/(*√*nb)*). Since  $p_{t,\overline{s}}(t) = \frac{p_{t+1}(\sqrt{n}b)}{2} = O_p(1/(nb))$  are negligible compared to  $\Phi_{1n}$ , the Nadara a–Waton e timator  $C_N(s, t)$ , of  $C(s, t)$  obtained from  $C_{ijk}$  i a mptoticall eq i alent to that obtained from  $\tilde{C}_{ijk}$ , denoted b  $\tilde{C}_N(t, s)$ .

Therefore, it is sufficient to  $\log_{\epsilon}$  that the asymptotic ditribution of  $\tilde{C}_N(s, t)$  follows [\(18\)](#page-11-0). Choo e  $v = (0, 0)$ ,  $|k| = 2$ ,  $\phi_1(\hat{s}, t, y_1, y_2) = (y_1 - \mu(s))(y_2 - \mu(t)), \phi_2(s, t, y_1, y_2) \equiv 1$ and  $H(x_1, x_2) = x_1/x_2$  in Theorem [2,](#page-8-0) then  $\tilde{C}_N(s, t) = H(\Psi_{1n}, \Psi_{2n})$ . To compute  $\gamma_N(s, t)$ , e  $DH(m_1, m_2) = (1/m_2, -m_1/m_2^2)$ , and note  $m_1(s, t) = \int_{\Re^2} (y_1 - \mu(s))(y_2 - \mu(t))g_2(s, t, y_1, y_2)$  $dy_1 dy_2 = f_2(s, t)C(s, t)$  and  $m_2(s, t) = f_2(s, t)$ . One ha  $\frac{d^2}{dt^2}m_1(s, t) = \frac{d^2 f_2}{dt^2}C +$  $2(df_2/dt)(dC/dt) + f_2(d^2C/dt^2)[(s, t), (d^2/d^2t)m_2(s, t) = d^2f_2(s, t)/dt^2$  and imilar derivati e  $\frac{1}{x}$  ith respect to the argument *s* leading to the bias term in [\(12\)](#page-9-0). For the asymptotic ariance, note that  $\omega_{11} = ||K_2||^2 \int_{\Re^2} (y_1 - \mu(s))^2 (y_2 - \mu(t))^2 g_2(s, t, y_1, y_2) dy_1 dy_2 = E[(Y_1 (\mu(T_1))^2 (Y_2 - \mu(T_2))^2 | T_1 = s, T_2 = t) f_2(s, t) \| K_2 \|^2, \ \omega_{12} = \omega_{21} = \| K_2 \|^2 f_2(s, t) C(s, t),$  $\omega_{22} = ||K_2||^2 f_2(s, t)$ , and  $DH(m_1, m_2) = (1/m_2, -m_1/m_2^2)$ , ielding the ariance term in [\(12\)](#page-9-0).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.** *If the assumptions* (A1.1), (A1.2), and (C1.1) (C3) *hold with*  $|v| = 0$  and  $|k| = 2$ , *then*

$$
\sqrt{n\bar{N}(\bar{N}-1)h^2}[\widehat{C}_{L}(s,t)-C(s,t)]
$$
  
\n
$$
\xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{e}{4}\sigma_{K_2}^2[d^2C(s,t)/ds^2+d^2C(s,t)/dt^2],\frac{v(s,t)\|K_2\|^2}{f_2(s,t)}\right),
$$
\n(19)

*where e is as in* (C3),  $v(s, t) = var\{(Y_1 - \mu(T_1))(Y_2 - \mu(T_2)) | T_1 = s, T_2 = t\}, \sigma_{K_2}^2 = \int_{\Re^2} (u^2 +$  $(v^2)K_2(u, v) du dv, ||K_2||^2 = \int_{\mathcal{R}^2} K_2^2(u, v) du dv.$ 

**Proof.** In analog to the proof of Corollar [3,](#page-11-0) the local linear etimator  $C_{\frac{L}{L}}(s, t)$  obtained from *C*<sub>ijk</sub> is a mptotically equivalent to that obtained from  $\tilde{C}_{ijk}$ , denoted by  $\tilde{C}_{\text{L}}(t, s)$ . Also denote the ol tion to [\(17\)](#page-10-0), after b tit ting  $\tilde{C}_{ijk}$  for  $C_{ijk}$ , b  $\tilde{\beta}(s, t) = (\tilde{\beta}_0(s, t), \tilde{\beta}_1(s, t), \tilde{\beta}_2(s, t))$ , and in fact  $\tilde{\beta}_0(s, t) = \tilde{C}_L(s, t)$ . For implicit, let  $W_{ijk} = K_2((s - T_{ij})/h, (t - T_{ik})/h)/(nh^2)$  and  $\sum_{i,j\neq k}$ " i abb*re* iation of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j\neq k}$ ". Algebra calculation ield that

$$
\tilde{C}_{L} = \frac{\sum_{i,j\neq k} \tilde{C}_{ijk} W_{ijk} - \tilde{\beta}_{1} \sum_{i,j\neq k} W_{ijk} T_{ij} + \tilde{\beta}_{1} \sum_{i,j\neq k} W_{ijk}s - \tilde{\beta}_{2} \sum_{i,j\neq k} W_{ijk} T_{ik} + \tilde{\beta}_{2} \sum_{i,j\neq k} W_{ijk} t}{\sum_{i,j\neq k} W_{ijk}}
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\beta}_{1} = \frac{R_{00}(S_{10}S_{02} - S_{01}S_{11}) + R_{10}(S_{00}S_{02} - S_{01}S_{20}) - R_{01}(S_{00}S_{11} - S_{10}S_{02})}{S_{00}S_{20}S_{02} - S_{00}S_{11}^2 - S_{10}^2S_{02} + S_{10}S_{01}S_{11} + S_{20}S_{10}S_{11} - S_{01}S_{20}^2},
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{\beta}_{2} = \frac{R_{00}(S_{10}S_{11} - S_{01}S_{02}) - R_{10}(S_{00}S_{11} - S_{01}S_{20}) + R_{01}(S_{00}S_{20} - S_{10}^2)}{S_{00}S_{20}S_{02} - S_{00}S_{11}^2 - S_{10}^2S_{02} + S_{10}S_{01}S_{11} + S_{20}S_{10}S_{11} - S_{01}S_{20}^2},
$$

 $w$  here

$$
R_{pq} = \sum_{i,j \neq k} W_{ijk} (T_{ij} - s)^p (T_{ik} - t)^q \tilde{C}_{ijk}, \quad S_{pq} = \sum_{i,j \neq k} W_{ijk} (T_{ij} - s)^p (T_{ik} - t)^q.
$$

Note that  $\tilde{\beta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_2$  are local linear etimator of the partial derivative of  $C(s, t)$ ,  $dC(s, t)/ds$  and  $dC(s, t)/dt$ , respectively. In analogy to the proof of Corollary [2,](#page-9-0) it can be  $\log_{\chi} n \text{ that } |\tilde{\beta}_1(s, t)$  $dC(s, t)/ds$  =  $O_p(1/\sqrt{nEN(N-1)h^4})$  and  $|\tilde{\beta}_2(s, t) - dC(s, t)/dt$  =  $O_p(1/\sqrt{n\bar{N}(\bar{N}-1)h^4})$ b appl ing Theorem [2.](#page-8-0) Then one can b in te  $dc(s, t)/ds$ ,  $dC(s, t)/dt$  for  $\tilde{\beta}_1(s, t)$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_2(s, t)$  in  $\tilde{C}_L(s, t)$ , and denote the *r*estimator by  $C^*_{L}(s, t)$ . It is easy to see that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n \bar{N}(\bar{N}-1)h^2} [C_{\mathrm{L}}(s,t) - C(s,t)] \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n \bar{N}(\bar{N}-1)h^2} [C_{\mathrm{L}}^*(s,t) - C(s,t)].
$$

We define  $Φ<sub>λn</sub>$ ,  $1 \le \lambda \le 4$ , through  $φ<sub>1</sub>(s, t, y<sub>1</sub>, y<sub>2</sub>) = (y<sub>1</sub> − μ(s))(y<sub>2</sub> − μ(t)), φ<sub>2</sub>$ *We define*  $\Phi_{\lambda n}$ ,  $1 \le \lambda \le 4$ , thro. gh  $\phi_1(s, t, y_1, y_2) = (y_1 - \mu(s))(y_2 - \mu(t)), \phi_2(s/t)$ ,  $y_1, y_2(t)$ 

those in Corollarie [3](#page-11-0) and [4,](#page-12-0) with  $f(t)$  replaced by  $1/|T|$  and  $f(s, t)$  replaced by  $1/|T|^2$ , where  $|\mathcal{T}|$  i the length of the inter al.

## **5. Simulation study**

A n merical t d i conducted to evaluate the derived as monotic propertie. The key finding in this paper is that the asymptotic results for functional or longitudinal are comparable to those obtained from independent data, i.e., the influence of  $_{\kappa}$  ithin-subject covariance does not play ignificant role in determining the a mptotic bia and <sup>n</sup>ariance. For implicit, we focus on the local polynomial mean estimators which are often superior to the Nadara a–Waston estimators.

We first generated  $M = 200$  ample con i ting of  $n = 50$  i.i.d. random trajectorie each. Follo<sub>w</sub> ing model [\(1\)](#page-3-0), the im-lated proce has a mean function  $\mu(t) = (t - 1/2)^2$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ which has a constant second derivative  $\mu^{(2)}(t) = 2$ , and a constant within-subject covariance function derived from a random intercept  $\xi_1 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, \lambda_1)$ , where  $\lambda_1 = 0.01$  and  $\phi_1(t) = 1$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . The measurement error in [\(1\)](#page-3-0) was set  $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\sigma^2 = 0.01$ . A random de ign<sub>x</sub> a ed, where the number of observations for each subject  $N_i$  were chosen from  ${2, 3, 4, 5}$  ith eq. al likelihood and the location of the observations were uniformly distributed on [0, 1], i.e.,  $T_{ij} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U[0, 1]$ . For compari on, we generated  $M = 200$  ample of  $n = 50$ i.i.d. random trajectorie which have the ame tructure as in model [\(1\)](#page-3-0) but no within-subject correlation. Letting  $\xi_{i1} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1 + \sigma^2})$  lead to independent data<sub>x</sub> ith the ame mean and a riance functions. Therefore, the two set of data have the same as imptotic distribution for the local polynomial mean e timator. We also generated  $M = 200$  correlated and independent ample, respectively, consisting of  $n = 200$  trajectories each for demonstrating the asymptotic beha io $r_{\rm x}$  ith the increasing ample i e *n*.

Here we use the Epanechnikov kernel function, i.e.,  $K_1(u) = 3/4(1 - u^2)\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(u)$ , where  $1_A(u) = 1$  if  $u \in A$  and 0 other i e for an et *A*. Note that  $n(EN)b^{2k+1} \to d^2$  in (B3),  $\mu^{(2)}(t) = 2$ ,  $var(Y|T = t) = \lambda_1 + \sigma^2 = 0.02$ , and the de ign den it  $f(t) = 1$ , where  $k = 2$  for local polynomial estimators and *b* is the band, idth used for the mean estimation. From the above contraction, one can calculate the a mptotic ariance and bias of the local polynomial mean e timator  $\mu_L(t)$  using Corollar  $2<sub>w</sub>$  hich is in fact applicable for both correlated and independent data. Since the bia and a interested are both contant in o.  $r$  im lation frame ork, for convenience we compare the a mptotic integrated q ared bia and ariance with the empirical integrated q ared bia and ariance obtained ing Monte Carlo a erage from  $\hat{M} = 200$  im lated ample based on  $\int_0^1 E[\{\hat{\mu}_L(t) - \mu(t)\}^2] dt = \int_0^1 {\{\hat{\mu}_L(t) - E[\hat{\mu}_L(t)]\}^2} dt +$  $\int_0^1 \{E[\hat{\mu}_L(t)] - \mu(t)\}^2 dt$ . The a mptotic integrated q ared bia and ariance are gi en b

$$
AIBIAS = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{K_1}^2 b^4, \quad AIVAR = \frac{0.02 \times ||K_1||^2}{n\bar{N}b},
$$
\n(20)

and the a mptotic integrated mean q ared error AIMSE = AIBIAS + AIVAR, where  $\sigma_{K_1}^2$  = and the a mptotic integrated mean q ared error AIMSE = AIBIAS + AIVAR,  $\kappa$  here  $\sigma_{K_1}^2 = \int u^2 K_1(u) du$ ,  $||K_1||^2 = \int K_1^2(u) du$  and  $\bar{N} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n N_i$ ,  $\kappa$  hile the empirical integrated q ared bia, ariance and mean q ared error are denoted by EIBIAS, EIVAR and EIMSE,

The a mptotic and empirical q antities, chas the integrated q ared bias, ariance and mean q ared error, are  $\ln_{\mathcal{C}} n$  in Fig. [1](#page-15-0) for the correlated/independent data<sub>x</sub> ith ample i e  $n = 50/n =$ 200, respectively. From Fig. [1,](#page-15-0) it is obvious that the asymptotic approximation is improved by increa ing the ample i e. The a mptotic q antitie AIBIAS, AIVAR and AIMSE agree with the

<span id="page-15-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Sho, n are the empirical quantities (olid, including EIBIAS, EIVAR, EIMSE) and a mptotic quantities (dashed, incl ding AIBIAS, AIVAR, AIMSE) ex log(b) for correlated (left panel) and independent (right panel) data with different ample i e  $n = 50$  (top panel) and  $n = 200$  (bottom panel), where *b* i the band idth ed in the moothing. In each panel, the integrated q ared bia is the one with increasing pattern, the integrated variance is the one with decrea ing pattern, and the cross each other, while the integrated mean q ared error, which is larger than both integrated q ared bia and ariance for an band<sub>w</sub> idth *b*, ull decrease first and then increase after reaching a minimum.

empirical q antitie EIBIAS, EIVAR and EIMSE for both correlated and independent data. For the im lated data<sub>x</sub> it the same ample i en, cha mptotic approximation for correlated and independent data are well comparable in pattern and magnitude. This provides the evidence that the within-bject correlation indeed does not have obvious influence on the asymptotic behavior of the local polynomial extintators compared to the standard rate obtained from independent data, which i consistent with our theoretical derivations.

# **6. Discussion**

In this paper, the asymptotic distribution of kernel-based nonparametric regression estimators for functional or longitudinal data are tudied. In particular, it d6. D01.6(croence  $-$  500(D01generta)-14

<span id="page-16-0"></span>de ign de cribed in (A1.1) and (A1.2), fixed equally paced design de cribed in  $(A1<sup>*</sup>)$ , and ome ca e l ing bet<sub>w</sub>een them. The proposed results could also be extended to more complicated cases, ch a "panel data" where observations for different subject are obtained at a series of common time point d *r*ing a longit dinal follo<sub>x</sub>-p. If considering random design, the density of the *j*th observation time  $T_j$  could be a med to be  $f_j(t)$ , then the result are readily applied to this case with appropriate modification  $\psi$  ith respect to the different marginal densities.

The general as mptotic distribution results in univariate and bivariate moothing etting are applied to the kernel-based estimator of the mean and covariance functions, which ields as mptotic normal di tribution of the ee timator. To the best of our knowledge, there are no a mptotic di tribution result a ailable in literature for nonparametric estimators of covariance function obtained from observed nois longitudinal or functional data. This provides theoretical basis and practical guidance for the nonparametric analysis of functional or longitudinal data with important potential application that are based on the asymptotic ditribution. For example, a mptotic confidence band or regions for the regression curve or the covariance surface can be constructed ba ed on their a mptotic di tribution. Since, due to their heavy computational load, commonly

ed procedure (such as cross-validation) for band in the election in two-dimensional etting are not fea ible, one important research problem is to seek efficient approaches for choosing such moothing parameter. Also functional principal component analysis, an increasingly popular tool for find reduced and interest in the extended on eigen-decomposition of the e-timated covariance function. The influence of the asymptotic properties of covariance estimators on the estimated eigenf notion i another potential research of interest.

# **References**

- [1] P.K. Bhattachar a, H.G. M ller, A mptotic for nonparametric regression, Sankh a 55 (1993) 420–441.
- [2] G. Boente, R. Fraiman, Kernel-ba ed f nctional principal component, Stati t. Probab. Lett. 48 (1999) 335–345.
- [3] H. Cardot, F. Ferrat, P. Sarda, F. nctional linear model, Stati t. Probab. Lett. 45 (1999) 11–22.
- [4] P. Diggle, P. Hergert, K.Y. Liang, S. Zeger, Anal i of Longit dinal Data, Oxford Unversity Press, Oxford, 2002.
- [5] J. Fan, I. Gijbel, Local Polynomial Modelling and It Application, Chapman & Hall, London, 1996.
- [6] P. Hall, N.I. Fi her, B. Hoffmann, On the nonparametric e timation of co ariance function , Ann. Stati t. 22 (1994) 2115–2134.
- [7] P. Hall, P. Patil, Properties of nonparametic estimators of a tocovariance for tationary random field, Probab. Theory Related Field 99 (1994) 399 424.
- [8] J.D. Hart, T.E. Wehrl, Kernel regression estimation using repeated measurement data, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 81 (1986) 1080–1088.
- [9] X. Lin, R.J. Carroll, Nonparametric function estimation for clustered data<sub>w</sub> hen the predictor is measured without/with error, J. Amer. Stati t. A oc. 95 (2000) 520–534.
- [10] J.O. Ram a, J.B. Ram e, Fnctional data anal i of the d namic of the monthl index of nondurable good prod. ction, J. Econom. 107 (2002) 327–344.
- [11] T.A. Sererini, J.G. Stani  $_{\rm w}$  ali , Q a i-likelihood e timation in emiparametric model, J. Amer. Stati t. A oc. 89 (1994) 501–511.
- [12] J.G. Stani<sub>ss</sub> ali, J.J. Lee, Nonparametric regression anal i of longitudinal data, J. Amer. Stati t. Assoc. 93 (1998) 1403–1418.
- [13] A.P. Verb la, B.R. C. Ili, M.G. Ken<sub>w</sub>ard, S.J. Welham, The anal i of de igned  $\alpha$  periment and longitudinal data ing moothing pline  $\left(\frac{1}{8}$  ith dic<sup>nt</sup> ion), Appl. Statist. 48 (1999) 269–311.
- [14] C.J. Wild, T.W. Yee, Additive extension to generalized estimating equation method, J. Ro. Statist. Soc., Ser B 58 (1996) 711–725.
- [15] F. Yao, H.G. M ller, A.J. Clifford, S.R. D. eker, J. Lin Follett, B.A.Y. B. chhol , J.S. Vogel, Shrinkage e timation for f nctional principal component core  $\kappa$  ith application to the population kinetic of pla ma folate, Biometric 59 (2003) 676–685.
- [16] F. Yao, H.G. M ller, J.L. Wang, F. nctional data anal i for pare longit dinal data, J. Amer. Statit. A oc. 100 (2005) 577–590.