

A Fixed-Point EM Algorithm for Straight Line Detection

Chang Fa-gang and Ji-e Ma *

Department of Information Science, School of Mathematical Sciences and LMAM, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

Abstract. Straight line detection is a basic technique in image processing and pattern recognition. It has been investigated from different aspects, but is still very challenging in practical applications. In this paper, based on the finite mixture model and under the EM framework, we maximize the Q -function by differentiation and construct a fixed-point EM algorithm for straight line detection. It is demonstrated by the experiments that this proposed algorithm can effectively detect the straight lines from a digital image or dataset.

Keywords: Straight line detection, Expectation Maximization (EM), Fixed-Point iteration.

1 Introduction

Straight line detection is a basic technique in image processing and pattern recognition. It has been investigated from different aspects, but is still very challenging in practical applications. In this paper, based on the finite mixture model and under the EM framework, we maximize the Q -function by differentiation and construct a fixed-point EM algorithm for straight line detection. It is demonstrated by the experiments that this proposed algorithm can effectively detect the straight lines from a digital image or dataset.

The local circular Hough transform (PCA) algorithm [9]-[12], a fast extension of PCA [13], is used for straight line detection. In the literature, the local circular Hough transform (RHT) [3] and the circular Hough transform [4] are effective methods. However, they are not efficient in detecting straight lines via Hough transform [11]:

$$E = \sum_{k=1}^K E_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{x_t \in \mathcal{L}_k} d^2(x_t, \mathcal{L}_k) \quad (1)$$

where x_t is the t -th pixel in the image, \mathcal{L}_k is the line, and $d(x_t, \mathcal{L}_k)$ is the distance from x_t to the line \mathcal{L}_k . Accordingly, the local circular Hough transform (RHT) [3] and the circular Hough transform [4] are not efficient in detecting straight lines via Hough transform [11]:

* Corresponding author, jwma@math.pku.edu.cn

Let $x_t = (x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tK})^T$ denote the observed data at time t , and $\theta_K = (\beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K)^T$ denote the unknown parameters. The joint likelihood function of x_t given θ_K is

$$q(x|\theta_K) = \prod_{j=1}^K \pi_j q(x|\ell_j, m_j, \sigma_j), \quad (7)$$

where

$$q(x|\ell_j, m_j, \sigma_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_j} \exp\left\{-\frac{d^2(x_t, \ell_j, m_j)}{2\sigma_j^2}\right\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\|\ell_j\|^2 = \ell_{j1}^2 + \ell_{j2}^2 = 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, K, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j = 1. \quad (10)$$

Let $\pi_j = \int_{\mathcal{X}} q(x|\ell_j, m_j, \sigma_j) dx$ denote the marginal density of x_t given θ_K . The EM algorithm is used to estimate θ_K by maximizing the log-likelihood function $\ln q(x_t|\theta_K)$ with respect to θ_K . The EM algorithm is a simple and efficient iterative algorithm for maximizing the log-likelihood function of a mixture of normal distributions [16]. Under the EM framework, we define the Q -function as

$$Q(\theta_K^h, \theta_K^{h+1}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(x_t|\theta_K^h) \ln q(x_t|j, \theta_K^{h+1}) \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{\pi_j^h q(x_t|\ell_j^h, m_j^h, \sigma_j^h)}{\sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i^h q(x_t|\ell_i^h, m_i^h, \sigma_i^h)} \ln[\pi_j^{h+1} q(x_t|\ell_j^{h+1}, m_j^{h+1}, \sigma_j^{h+1})]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(t) \ln[\pi_j^{h+1} q(x_t|\ell_j^{h+1}, m_j^{h+1}, \sigma_j^{h+1})] \quad (12)$$

where $p_j(t) = \frac{\pi_j^h q(x_t|\ell_j^h, m_j^h, \sigma_j^h)}{\sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i^h q(x_t|\ell_i^h, m_i^h, \sigma_i^h)}$. From the definition of the Q -function, we can define

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(t) \ln[\pi_j q(x_t|\ell_j, m_j, \sigma_j)]. \quad (13)$$

3 Proposed Fixed-Point EM Algorithm

The EM algorithm is used to estimate the unknown parameters of the Q -function. We define the Q -function as a fixed-point equation. The EM algorithm is used to estimate the unknown parameters of the Q -function.

Since $\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j = 1$ and $\ell_{j1}^2 + \ell_{j2}^2 = 1$ for all j , we define the Lagrange multiplier $\beta, \lambda_j (j = 1, \dots, K)$ and the Lagrange function

$$L(\theta_K, \beta, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_K) = Q + \beta(1 - \sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j) + \sum_{j=1}^K \lambda_j(1 - \ell_{j1}^2 - \ell_{j2}^2). \quad (14)$$

By differentiating (14), we have the following derivative :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \pi_j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{\pi_j} p_j(t) - \beta, \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j} = 1 - l_{j1}^2 - l_{j2}^2, \tag{17}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ell_{j1}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(t) \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2} \{ -(x_{t1} - m_{j1}) [(x_{t1} - m_{j1}) \ell_{j1} + (x_{t2} - m_{j2}) \ell_{j2}] \}, \tag{18}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial m_{j1}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(t) \frac{1}{\sigma_j^2} \{ -(x_{t1} - m_{j1}) + \ell_{j1} (x_t - m_j, \ell_j) \}, \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \sigma_j} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^K p_j(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma_j} + \frac{1}{\sigma_j^3} d^2(x_t, \ell_j, m_j) \right\}. \tag{20}$$

By letting the derivative given by Eqs. (15)-(20) be 0, we have

$$\beta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{t=1}^N p_j(t), \tag{21}$$

and hence by letting the fixed-point algorithm converge :

$$m_j^{h+1} = \frac{\sum_t p_j(t) x_t}{\sum_t p_j(t)}, \tag{22}$$

$$\pi_j^{h+1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_t p_j(t), \tag{23}$$

$$(\sigma_j^{h+1})^2 = \frac{\sum_t p_j(t) d^2(x_t, \ell_k, m_k)}{\sum_t p_j(t)}, \tag{24}$$

and ℓ_j is the eigenvector of $\Sigma_j = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j(t) (x_t - m_j)(x_t - m_j)^T$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue.

Based on the above fixed-point algorithm, we can establish the fixed-point EM algorithm which converges to the following theorem :

- (i) Initialization of the parameters.
- (ii) Under m_j, π_j, σ_j^2 by Eqs. (22)-(24). Under ℓ_j by the eigenvector of $\Sigma_j = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j(t) (x_t - m_j)(x_t - m_j)^T$ corresponding to the largest eigenvalue.
- (iii) Repeat (ii) until the value of the algorithm converges.

4 Experiments Results

In this section, we evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm for the fixed- K EM algorithm with the high likelihood of each data set. We consider the binary image data set from the high likelihood of each data set. The true parameters of the finite mixture models are listed in Table 1. Obviously, the true level of S_2 and S_3 are much higher than that of S_1 .

In the experiments, we use the best likelihood of each data set, i.e., $K = 4$. We will use the fixed- K EM algorithm for each data set, in which the algorithm is initialized by the standard High Accuracy [3]. The algorithm stops if $|Q(\Theta_K^{new}) - Q(\Theta_K^{old})| < 10^{-6}$. The results of the high likelihood of each data set are listed in Fig. 1-3, respectively. The learned parameters of the finite mixture models are listed in Table 2.

Table 1. The true parameters of the finite mixture models for the datasets S_1, S_2 and S_3 , respectively

Sample set	π_i	ℓ_i	m_i	σ_i
S_1	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(1,1)	0.01
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,1)	0.01
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,-1)	0.01
	0.25	(0.7071,0.7071)	(1,-1)	0.01
S_2	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(1,1)	0.2
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,1)	0.2
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,-1)	0.2
	0.25	(0.7071,0.7071)	(1,-1)	0.2
S_3	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(1,1)	0.3
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,1)	0.3
	0.25	(-0.7071,0.7071)	(-1,-1)	0.3
	0.25	(0.7071,0.7071)	(1,-1)	0.3

It can be observed from the figure in Fig. 1 that the Q -function of each data set is increasing and finally reaches a maximum. Meanwhile, the high likelihood of each data set is also increasing. We can also observe that the Q -function of each data set has a high value at the beginning of the iteration. The reason may be that the standard High Accuracy is used as the initial value of the parameters. As the iteration of the parameters becomes better, the value of the Q -function will be smaller.

From the experiments, we can see that the fixed- K EM algorithm can effectively detect the high likelihood of all the data sets in different levels. Moreover, in which Fig. 3-(c) has the fixed- K EM algorithm at the end of the algorithm.

In this paper, we use the best likelihood of each data set as the initial value of the algorithm. This is an advance. But if the data set is available in

ted



Table 2. The learned parameters of the finite mixture models on the three datasets S_1, S_2 and S_3 , respectively

Sample set	π_i	ℓ_i	m_i	σ_i
S_1	0.2498	(-0.7084,0.7058)	(0.9715,1.0290)	0.0103
	0.2489	(-0.7084,0.7058)	(-0.9695,1.0302)	0.0083
	0.2502	(-0.7077,0.7065)	(-0.9516,-1.0491)	0.0089
	0.2511	(0.7067,0.7075)	(1.0120,-0.9871)	0.0097
S_2	0.2617	(0.7101,-0.7041)	(1.0192,0.9542)	0.1726
	0.2421	(0.7119, 0.7023)	(-1.0138,0.9835)	0.1812
	0.2380	(0.7210,-0.6930)	(-0.9720,-1.0285)	0.1760
	0.2582	(-0.7157,-0.6984)	(0.9778,-0.9707)	0.2138
S_3	0.2386	(-0.7908,0.6120)	(0.8080,1.0306)	0.2695
	0.2557	(-0.7459,-0.6661)	(-0.8380,1.0016)	0.2826
	0.2335	(0.7296,-0.6839)	(-0.8854,-0.9882)	0.2867
	0.2722	(-0.7323,-0.6810)	(0.8837,-0.9461)	0.3386

...acical a lica i . I de e e ve c he hi ea e , e ca i d ce he Ba9e ia Yi g-Ya g (BYY) ha e lea i g e [17]-[18] a d he e e e ai ed a a ed de e ec i echa i [19] i he fi ed- i lea i g alg i h .

5 Conclusions

We have i ve iga ed he aigh li e de ec i e b e f e fi e i x e de i g. Si ce i i diffic l ve he a x e f hel g-li e d f c i , e e e he EM alg i h a d a a g e he Q-f c i . B9 diffe e e ia i , e de ive a fi ed- i lea i g ced ef e a x i i g he Q-f c i a d h c e a fi ed- i EM alg i h f aigh li e de ec i . I i de e a ed b9 he ex e i e ha he ed fi ed- i EM alg i h ca e effec ive l ca e he aigh li e i a da a e .

Acknowledgements

This e a ed b9 he Na al Scie ce F da i f Chi a f G a e 60771061.

References

1. Ballard, D.: Generalizing the Hough Transform to Detect Arbitrary Shapes. Pattern Recognition 13(2), 111–122 (1981)
2. Wolfson, H.: Generalizing the Generalized Hough Transform. Pattern Recognition Letters 12(9), 565–573 (1991)
3. Xu, L., Oja, E., Kultanen, P.: A New Curve Detection Method - Randomized Hough Transform (RHT). Pattern Recognition Letters 11(5), 331–338 (1990)

4. Olson, C.F.: Constrained Hough Transforms for Curve Detection. *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 73, 329–345 (1998)
5. Liu, Z., Qiao, H., Xu, L.: Multisets Mixture Learning-Based Ellipse Detection. *Pattern Recognition* 39(4), 731–735 (2006)
6. Liu, Z., Chiu, K., Xu, L.: Strip Line Detection and Thinning by RPCL-Based Local PCA. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 24(14), 2335–2344 (2003)
7. Lu, Z.W., Cheng, Q.S., Ma, J.W.: A Gradient BYY Harmony Learning Algorithm on Mixture of Experts for Curve Detection. In: Gallagher, M., Hogan, J.P., Maire, F. (eds.) *IDEAL 2005*. LNCS, vol. 3578, pp. 250–257. Springer, Heidelberg (2005)
8. Chen, G., Li, L., Ma, J.: A Gradient BYY Harmony Learning Algorithm for Straight Line Detection. In: Sun, F., Zhang, J., Tan, Y., Cao, J., Yu, W. (eds.) *ISNN 2008, Part I*. LNCS, vol. 5263, pp. 618–626. Springer, Heidelberg (2008)
9. Xu, L.: Multisets Modeling Learning: an Unified Theory for Supervised and Unsupervised Learning. In: *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, 1994. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence*, vol. 1, pp. 315–320 (1994)
10. Kambhatla, N., Leen, T.: Dimension Reduction by Local Principal Component Analysis. *Neural Computation* 9(7), 1493–1516 (1997)
11. Xu, L.: Vector Quantization by Local and Hierarchical LMSE. In: *Proc. of 1995 Intl. Conf. on Artificial Neural Networks*, vol. 2, pp. 575–579 (1995)
12. Xu, L.: An Overview on Unsupervised Learning from Data Mining Perspective. In: Allinson, N., Yin, H., Allinson, L., Slack, J. (eds.) *Advances in Self-Organising Maps*, pp. 181–209 (2001)
13. Jolliffe, I.T.: *Principal Component Analysis*, 2nd edn. Springer, Heidelberg (2002)
14. Xu, L.: Least Mean-Square Error Reconstruction Principle for Self-Organizing Neural-Nets. *Neural Networks* 6(5), 627–648 (1993)
15. Xu, L., Krzyzak, A., Oja, E.: Rival Penalized Competitive Learning for Clustering Analysis, RBF Net, and Curve Detection. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* 4(4), 636–649 (1993)
16. Dempster, A., Laird, N., Rubin, D.: Maximum Likelihood from Incomplete Data via EM Algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological* 39(1), 1–38 (1977)
17. Ma, J.W., He, X.F.: A Fast Fixed-Point BYY Harmony Learning Algorithm on Gaussian Mixture with Automated Model Selection. *Pattern Recognition Letters* 29(6), 701–711 (2008)
18. Ma, J.W., Liu, J.F.: The BYY Annealing Learning Algorithm for Gaussian Mixture with Automated Model Selection. *Pattern Recognition* 40(7), 2029–2037 (2007)
19. Ma, J.W., Wang, T.J.: Entropy Penalized Automated Model Selection on Gaussian Mixture. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence* 18(8), 1501–1512 (2004)