

# Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

Fangzhou Jin  
joint work with F. Déglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan

October 8, 2020

## Table of contents

- 1 Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture
- 2 Motivic homotopy theory
- 3 The fundamental class
- 4 Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

# The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension  $c$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $i^* = \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in  $Z$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}_Z^q(X_{\text{ét}}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} i_* \mathbb{Z}(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

# The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension  $c$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in  $Z$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}_Z^q(X_{\text{ét}}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} i_* \mathbb{Z}(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $i^! \mathbb{Z}_X = \mathbb{Z}(-c)[-2c]$ .

# The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension  $c$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ , then the étale cohomology sheaf supported in  $Z$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}_Z^q(X_{\text{ét}}; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} i_* \mathbb{Z}(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $i^! \mathbb{Z}_X = \mathbb{Z}(-c)[-2c]$ .

This conjecture has been solved by Gabber.

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where  $\dim X \leq 2$



## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where  $\dim X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of  $n$  are greater or equal to  $\dim X + 2$

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where  $\dim X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of  $n$  are greater or equal to  $\dim X + 2$

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale  $K$ -theory

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where  $\dim X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of  $n$  are greater or equal to  $\dim X + 2$

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale  $K$ -theory

- Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)

## A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both  $X$  and  $Z$  are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where  $\dim X \leq 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of  $n$  are greater or equal to  $\dim X + 2$

Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale  $K$ -theory

- Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)

Based on Thomason's method + rigidity for algebraic  $K$ -theory

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

**Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture**

Motivic homotopy theory

The fundamental class

Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:

$S$  a regular scheme,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$  and  $\mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow S$  a separated morphism of finite type, then  $f^!_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$  is a dualizing object, i.e.  $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\underline{\text{Hom}}(\cdot; f^!_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}})$  satisfies  $D \circ D = \text{Id}$ .



## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:  
 $S$  a regular scheme,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$  and  $\mathbb{Z} = n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow S$  a separated morphism of finite type, then  $f^! \mathbb{Z}_S$  is a dualizing object, i.e.  $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\text{Hom}(\cdot; f^! \mathbb{Z}_S)$  satisfies  $D \circ D = \text{Id}$ .
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of étale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:  
 $S$  a regular scheme,  $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$  and  $\pi = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow S$  a separated morphism of finite type, then  $f^! \pi_S$  is a dualizing object, i.e.  $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\text{Hom}(\cdot; f^! \pi_S)$  satisfies  $D \circ D = \text{Id}$ .
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.
- Study the coniveau spectral sequence.

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has led to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.

## Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has led to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.
- Main result: the absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory is satisfied with rational coefficients in mixed characteristic.

Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture  
**Motivic homotopy theory**  
The fundamental class

Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture  
**Motivic homotopy theory**  
The fundamental class

Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture  
**Motivic homotopy theory**  
The fundamental class



# Motivic homotopy theory

- The motivic homotopy theory or  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology
- Idea: use the affine line  $\mathbb{A}^1$  as a substitute of the unit interval to get an algebraic version of the homotopy theory
- Can be used to study cohomology theories such as algebraic  $K$ -theory, Chow groups (motivic cohomology) and many others
- Advantage: has many a lot of structures coming from both topological and algebraic geometrical sides

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- $K$ -theory and hermitian  $K$ -theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- $K$ -theory and hermitian  $K$ -theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- $K$ -theory and hermitian  $K$ -theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- $K$ -theory and hermitian  $K$ -theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -enumerative geometry (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)

## Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classification of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- $K$ -theory and hermitian  $K$ -theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- $\mathbb{A}^1$ -enumerative geometry (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)
- Non-commutative geometry and singularity categories (Tabuada, Blanc-Robalo-Toen-Vezzosi)

## Some topological background

- A **spectrum**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps  $\sigma_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  called **suspension maps**



## Some topological background

- A **spectrum**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps  $\sigma_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps

## Some topological background

- A **spectrum**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps  $\sigma_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups:**

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

## Some topological background

- A **spectrum**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps  $\sigma_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups**:

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

- The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if  $E_i = X \wedge S^i$  for some  $X \in \mathit{Top}$  (i.e.  $E$  is the **suspension spectrum** of  $X$ ), then the sequence  $i \mapsto \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$  stabilizes

## Some topological background

- A **spectrum**  $\mathbb{E}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps  $\sigma_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$  called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups**:

$$\pi_n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$$

- The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if  $E_i = X \wedge S^i$  for some  $X \in \mathit{Top}$  (i.e.  $E$  is the **suspension spectrum** of  $X$ ), then the sequence  $i \mapsto \pi_{n+i}(E_i)$  stabilizes
- A morphism of spectra is a **stable weak equivalence** if it

## Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences

## Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by  $S^1$ -suspension

## Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by  $S^1$ -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X; \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

## Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by  $S^1$ -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X; \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

- Examples: Suspension spectra  $\Sigma^{-1} X$  for  $X \in Top$ , in particular sphere spectrum  $S$ ;  $HA$  Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring  $A$ ;  $MU$  complex cobordism spectrum



## Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is defined from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$  is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by  $S^1$ -suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X; \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

- Examples: Suspension spectra  $\Sigma^{-1} X$  for  $X \in Top$ , in particular sphere spectrum  $S$ ;  $HA$  Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring  $A$ ;  $MU$  complex cobordism spectrum
- From an  $\infty$ -categorical point of view, the category of spectra is the stabilization of the category of spaces, and is the universal stable (triangulated) category

## The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth  $S$ -schemes  $Sm_S$

## The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth  $S$ -schemes  $Sm_S$
- The **(pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{H}(S)$  ( $\mathbf{H}(S)$ ) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form  $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$

## The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth  $S$ -schemes  $Sm_S$
- The **(pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{H}(S)$  ( $\mathbf{H}(S)$ ) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form  $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow Y$
- Bigraded  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -**homotopy sheaves**: for  $X \in \mathbf{H}(S)$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_{a,b}^1(X)$  is the Nisnevich sheaf on  $Sm_S$  associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [U \wedge S^{a-b} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^b; X]_{\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)}$$

## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $\sigma_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$

## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $d_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is defined from  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences

## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $d_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is defined from  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres:  $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$

## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $d_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is defined from  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres:  $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is triangulated by  $S^1$ -suspension



## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $\sigma_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is defined from  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres:  $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is triangulated by  $S^1$ -suspension
- In the classical notation,  $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$

## The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme  $S$ , a **motivic spectrum** or  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -**spectrum** is a sequence  $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms  $\sigma_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \rightarrow E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category**  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is defined from  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres:  $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is triangulated by  $S^1$ -suspension
- In the classical notation,  $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$
- $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  is the universal stable  $\infty$ -category which satisfies Nisnevich descent and  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariance (Robalo, Drew-Gallauer)

Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture  
**Motivic homotopy theory**  
The fundamental class

## Motivic spectra

Every object in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}$ , represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)

## Motivic spectra

Every object in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}$ , represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)

## Motivic spectra

Every object in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}$ , represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum  $\mathbf{MGL}$ , represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)

## Motivic spectra

Every object in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}$ , represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum  $\mathbf{MGL}$ , represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KQ}$  represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)

## Motivic spectra

Every object in  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{HZ}$ , represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum  $\mathbf{MGL}$ , represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KQ}$  represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)
- Milnor-Witt spectrum  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$  represents Milnor-Witt motivic cohomology/higher Chow-Witt groups (Deglise-Fasel)



## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = {}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$

## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = {}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology

## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = {}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem:  $k$  field, then  ${}_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$  is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf

## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem:  $k$  field, then  $\pi_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$  is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular,  $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$  is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over  $k$

## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = {}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem:  $k$  field, then  ${}_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$  is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular,  $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$  is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over  $k$
- This leads to the theory of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -enumerative geometry

## The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_S = {}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \mathcal{S}_+$  is the unit object for the monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(S)$  defined by  $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem:  $k$  field, then  ${}_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$  is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular,  $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$  is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over  $k$
- This leads to the theory of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -enumerative geometry
- The 1-line is also computed (Rondigs-Spitzweck-Østvær):

$$0 \rightarrow K_2^M \rightarrow {}_{n+1,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \rightarrow {}_{n+1,n}f_0(\mathbf{KQ})$$

## The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for  $l$ -adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise

## The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for  $l$ -adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(X) : f$$



## The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for  $l$ -adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f_* : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(X) : f^*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

## The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for  $l$ -adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f_* : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(X) : f^*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

There is also a pair  $(\otimes, \underline{\text{Hom}})$  of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}$

## The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for  $l$ -adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Déglise
- For any morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f_* : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(X) : f^*$$

For any separated morphism of finite type  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

There is also a pair  $(\otimes, \underline{\text{Hom}})$  of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{SH}$

- They satisfy formal properties axiomatizing important theorems such as duality, base change and localization.

## Thom spaces and relative purity

- If  $V \rightarrow X$  is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space**  $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}(X)$  is the pointed motivic space  $V=V - 0$

Grothendieck's absolute purity conjecture  
Motivic homotopy theory  
**The fundamental class**  
Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

# Thom spaces and relative purity

## Thom spaces and relative purity

- If  $V \rightarrow X$  is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space**  $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}(X)$  is the pointed motivic space  $V/V - 0$
- This construction passes through  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -stabilization and defines a  $\otimes$ -invertible object in  $\mathbf{SH}(X)$ , and the map  $V \mapsto Th(V)$  extends to a map  $K_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub):  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  smooth morphism with tangent bundle  $T_f$ , then  $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f$

## Thom spaces and relative purity

- If  $V \rightarrow X$  is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space**  $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}(X)$  is the pointed motivic space  $V/V - 0$
- This construction passes through  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -stabilization and defines a  $\otimes$ -invertible object in  $\mathbf{SH}(X)$ , and the map  $V \mapsto Th(V)$  extends to a map  $K_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub):  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  smooth morphism with tangent bundle  $T_f$ , then  $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f$
- In the presence of an *orientation*, we recover the usual relative purity

## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$



## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$
- Examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$

## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$
- Examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of  $\mathbb{E}$  is the data of isomorphisms  $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$  for all vector bundles  $V \rightarrow X$  of rank  $r$ , which is compatible with pullbacks and products

## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$
- Examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of  $\mathbb{E}$  is the data of isomorphisms  $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$  for all vector bundles  $V \rightarrow X$  of rank  $r$ , which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories

## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$
- Examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of  $\mathbb{E}$  is the data of isomorphisms  $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$  for all vector bundles  $V \rightarrow X$  of rank  $r$ , which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples:  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ , or the spectrum representing étale cohomology

## Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of  $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$  for every scheme  $X$ , together with natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$  for every morphism  $f : Y \rightarrow X$
- Examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of  $\mathbb{E}$  is the data of isomorphisms  $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$  for all vector bundles  $V \rightarrow X$  of rank  $r$ , which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples:  $\mathbf{HZ}$ ,  $\mathbf{KGL}$ ,  $\mathbf{MGL}$ , or the spectrum representing étale cohomology
- Non-examples:  $\mathbb{1}$ ,  $\mathbf{KQ}$ ,  $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$

## Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum

## Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)

## Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory



## Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory
- For oriented spectra, Deglise defined fundamental classes using Chern classes

## Bivariant groups

- For  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a separated morphism of finite type,  $v \in K_0(X)$  and  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ , define the  **$\mathbb{E}$ -bivariant groups** (or **Borel-Moore  $\mathbb{E}$ -homology**) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X=S; v) = [f_! Th(v)[n]; \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

## Bivariant groups

- For  $f : X \rightarrow S$  be a separated morphism of finite type,  $v \in K_0(X)$  and  $\mathbb{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$ , define the  **$\mathbb{E}$ -bivariant groups** (or **Borel-Moore  $\mathbb{E}$ -homology**) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X=S; v) = [f_! Th(v)[n]; \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- If  $S$  is a field and  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{HZ}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}_i(X=S; v) = CH_r(X; i)$  are the higher Chow groups, where  $r$  is the virtual rank of  $v$



## Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(Y=X; g \nu)$$

## Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\
 g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\
 T & \xrightarrow{p} & S
 \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(Y=X; g \nu)$$

- Proper push-forward:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  proper

$$f : \mathbb{E}_n(X=S; f \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu)$$

## Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\
 g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\
 T & \xrightarrow{p} & S
 \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(Y=X; g \nu)$$

- Proper push-forward:  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  proper

$$f : \mathbb{E}_n(X=S; f \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu)$$

- Product: if  $\mathbb{E}$  has a ring structure,  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S$

$$\mathbb{E}_m(X=Y; w) \otimes \mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{m+n}(X=S; w + f \nu)$$

## The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism



## The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle*  $f \in K_0(X)$ , which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of  $f$

## The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
  - To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle*  $f \in K_0(X)$ , which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of  $f$
  - 3 equivalent formulations:
    - **purity transformation**  $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^!$
    - **fundamental class**  $f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X=Y; f)$
    - **Gysin morphisms**  $\mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(X=S; f + f^* \nu)$
- all compatible with compositions

## The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle*  $f \in K_0(X)$ , which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of  $f$
- 3 equivalent formulations:
  - **purity transformation**  $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^!$
  - **fundamental class**  $f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X=Y; f)$
  - **Gysin morphisms**  $\mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(X=S; f + f^* \nu)$

all compatible with compositions
- Morally, these operations contain the information of "intersecting cycles over  $X$  with  $Y$ "

## The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle*  $f \in K_0(X)$ , which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of  $f$
- 3 equivalent formulations:
  - **purity transformation**  $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^!$
  - **fundamental class**  $f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X=Y; f)$
  - **Gysin morphisms**  $\mathbb{E}_n(Y=S; \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(X=S; f + f^* \nu)$
 all compatible with compositions
- Morally, these operations contain the information of "intersecting cycles over  $X$  with  $Y$ "
- The construction uses the *deformation to the normal cone*

## Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle  $V \rightarrow X$  is the map  $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow Th(V)$  induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles

## Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle  $V \rightarrow X$  is the map  $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow Th(V)$  induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- **Excess intersection formula**: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are lci, we have  $p = q \cdot e(\ )$ , where  $\$  is the *excess bundle*

## Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle  $V \rightarrow X$  is the map  $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \rightarrow Th(V)$  induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- **Excess intersection formula**: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are lci, we have  $p_* = q_* \cdot e(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta$  is the *excess bundle*

- **Motivic Gauss-Bonnet formula** (Levine, Deglise-J.-Khan)  
 For  $p : X \rightarrow S$  a smooth and proper morphism

$$(X=S) = p_* e(T_p)$$

where  $(X=S)$  is the *categorical Euler characteristic*

## The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum  $\mathbb{E}$  satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  between regular schemes, the purity transformation  $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^! \mathbb{E}_X$  is an isomorphism



## The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum  $\mathbb{E}$  satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  between regular schemes, the purity transformation  $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^! \mathbb{E}_X$  is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum **KGL** satisfies absolute purity because  $K$ -theory satisfies localization property (also called devissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow K(X - Z)$$

## The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum  $\mathbb{E}$  satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  between regular schemes, the purity transformation  $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(f) \rightarrow f^! \mathbb{E}_X$  is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum **KGL** satisfies absolute purity because  $K$ -theory satisfies localization property (also called devissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \rightarrow K(X) \rightarrow K(X - Z)$$

- From this property Cisinski-Déglise deduce that the rational motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$  also satisfies absolute purity, mainly because  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathbf{KGL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem

## The Main result

**Theorem** (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):

The rational sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfies absolute purity.

## The Main result

**Theorem** (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):

The rational sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$  induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  into the direct sum of the plus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}$  and the minus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{-, \mathbb{Q}}$  (Morel)

## The Main result

**Theorem** (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):

The rational sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$  induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  into the direct sum of the plus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}$  and the minus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{-, \mathbb{Q}}$  (Morel)
- The + -part  $\mathbb{1}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}$  agrees with  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$  (Cisinski-Deglise)

## The Main result

**Theorem** (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):

The rational sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$  induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  into the direct sum of the plus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}$  and the minus-part  $\mathbb{1}_{-, \mathbb{Q}}$  (Morel)
- The +-part  $\mathbb{1}_{+, \mathbb{Q}}$  agrees with  $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$  (Cisinski-Deglise)
- Therefore it suffices to show that the minus part satisfies absolute purity

## The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of **KGL**, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** satisfies absolute purity

## The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KQ}$  satisfies absolute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Deglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of  $\mathbf{KQ}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  can be identified as a direct summand



## The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of  $\mathbf{KGL}$ , one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum  $\mathbf{KQ}$  satisfies absolute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Deglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of  $\mathbf{KQ}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , where  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  can be identified as a direct summand
- This proves the absolute purity of  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  when 2 is invertible on the base scheme, since  $\mathbf{KQ}$  is only well-defined in this case

## The second proof

- For every scheme  $X$ , denote by  $\pi_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$

## The second proof

- For every scheme  $X$ , denote by  $X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$
- **Key lemma:** the functor  $X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{, \mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)_{, \mathbb{Q}}$  is an equivalence of categories

## The second proof

- For every scheme  $X$ , denote by  $i_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$
- **Key lemma:** the functor  $i_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)$  is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that  $X$  is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors  $i_x^!$  for  $i_x : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow X$  for all points  $x$  of  $X$  is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms

## The second proof

- For every scheme  $X$ , denote by  $i_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$
- **Key lemma:** the functor  $i_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that  $X$  is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors  $i_x^!$  for  $i_x : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow X$  for all points  $x$  of  $X$  is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms
- For  $X$  a field of characteristic zero,  $i_X$  is automatically an isomorphism; for  $X$  a field of positive characteristic,  $\mathbf{SH}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann

## The second proof

- For every scheme  $X$ , denote by  $i_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow X$
- **Key lemma:** the functor  $i_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{SH}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that  $X$  is the spectrum of a field, because the family of functors  $i_x^!$  for  $i_x : \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow X$  for all points  $x$  of  $X$  is jointly conservative, i.e. reflects isomorphisms
- For  $X$  a field of characteristic zero,  $i_X$  is automatically an isomorphism; for  $X$  a field of positive characteristic,  $\mathbf{SH}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann
- The key lemma then reduces the absolute purity of  $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  in mixed characteristic to the case of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -schemes, which can be proved using Popescu's theorem: a closed immersion of a finite regular schemes over a perfect field is a limit of closed immersions of smooth schemes

## Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$  behaves as expected

## Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$  behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique)  $SL$ -orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant



## Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$  behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique)  $SL$ -orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups  $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X=S; \nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory

## Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy  $t$ -structure on  $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot; \mathbb{Q})$  behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique)  $SL$ -orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups  $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X=S; \nu)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory
- Related work: absolute purity of the sphere spectrum over a Dedekind domain (Frankland-Nguyen-Spitzweck, work in progress)

Thank you!