Talk in Peking University

July 5-7, 2010

Extensions of a theorem of Hsu and Robbins

on the convergence rates in the law of large numbers

LIU Quansheng (with HAO Shunli)

Univ. de Bretagne-Sud

1 Introduction

1.1 Convergence rate in the law of large numbers: the iid case

Consider i.i.d. r.v. X_i with $EX_i = 0$. Let

$$S_n = X_1 + ::: + X_n$$
:

Law of Large numbers:

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \to 0$$
:

Question: at what rate $P(|S_n| > n'') \rightarrow 0$?

The theorem of Hsu-Robbins-Erdos

Hsu and Robbins (1947):

$$EX_1^2 < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_n P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$

("complete convergence", which implies a.s. convergence)

Erdos (1949): the converse also holds:

$$EX_1^2 < \infty \Leftarrow \sum_n P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$

Spitzer (1956):

$$\sum_{n} n^{-1} P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall " > 0 \text{ whenever } EX_1 = 0:$$

Baum and Katz (1965): for p > 1;

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty \Leftrightarrow \sum_n n^{p-2} P(|S_n| > n'') < \infty \quad \forall ">0;$$

in particular,

$$E|X_1|^p < \infty \Rightarrow P(|S_n| > n'') = o(n^{-(p-1)})$$

Question: is it valid for martingale differences?

1.2 Convergence rates in the law of large numbers: the martingale case

Is the theorem of Baum and Katz (1965) still valid for martingale differences (X_j) ?

$$\{\emptyset;\Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset :::;$$

 $\forall j, X_j \text{ are } \mathcal{F}_j \text{ measurable with } E[X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] = 0$

 $(\Leftrightarrow S_n = X_1 + ::: + X_n \text{ is a martingale.})$

Lesigne and Volney (2001): $p \ge 2$

$$E|X_1|^{\rho} < \infty \Rightarrow P(|S_n| > n'') = o(n^{-\rho=2})$$

and the exponent p=2 is the best possible, even for stationary and ergodic sequences of martingale differences.

Therefore the theorem of Baum and Katz does not hold for martingale differences without additional conditions.

[Curiously, Stoica (2007) claimed that the theorem of Baum and Katz still holds for p > 2 in the case of martingale differences without additional assumption. His claim is a contradiction with the conclusion of Lesigne and Volney (2001), and his proof is wrong: he chose an element in an empty set!]

1.3 Under what conditions the theorem of Baum and Katz still holds for martingale differences?

Alsmeyer (1990) proved that the theorem of Baum and Katz of order p > 1 still holds for martingale differences (X_j) if for some \in (1;2] and q > (p-1)=(-1),

$$\sup_{n\geq} \|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E[|X_j| | |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}]\|_q < \infty$$

where $||:||_q$ denotes the L^q norm. His result is already nice, but:

Our objective: extend the theorem of Baum and Katz (1965) to a large class of martingale arrays, in improving Alsmeyer's result for martingales, by establishing a sharp comparison result between

$$P(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{n;j} > ") \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(X_{n;j} > ")$$

for arrays of martingale differences $\{X_{n;j} : j \ge 1\}$.

Our result is sharper then the known ones even in the independent (not necessarily identically distributed) case. 2. Main results for martingale arrays

For $n \ge 1$, let $\{(X_{nj}; \mathcal{F}_{nj}) : j \ge 1\}$ be a sequence of martingale differences, and write

$$m_{n}() = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_{nj}| | \mathcal{F}_{n;j-1}]; \in (1;2];$$
$$S_{n;j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} X_{ni}; j \ge 1;$$

$$S_{n;\infty} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_{ni}$$

Lemma 1 (Law of large numbers) If for some $\in (1;2]$,

$$\mathbb{E}m_n() := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_{nj}|] \to 0;$$

then for all " > 0,

$$P\{\sup_{j\geq 1}|S_{n;j}| > ''\} \to 0$$

and

$$P\{|S_{n,\infty}| > "\} \to 0:$$

We are interested in their convergence rates.

Theorem 1 Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0;\infty)$. Suppose that for some \in $(1;2]; q \in [1;\infty)$ and $"_0 \in (0;1)$,

$$\mathbb{E}m_n^q(\) \to 0 \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n)(\mathbb{E}m_n^q(\))^{1-"_0} < \infty: \quad (C1)$$

Then the following assertions are all equivalent:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P\{|X_{nj}| > "\} < \infty \forall " > 0;$$
(1)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) P\{\sup_{j \ge 1} |S_{nj}| > "\} < \infty \forall " > 0;$$
(2)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) P\{|S_{n,\infty}| > "\} < \infty \forall " > 0:$$
(3)
First offer onext class Go Back of us Screen close of the

Remark. The condition (C1) holds if for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $"_1 > 0$,

$$\Phi(n) = O(n^r)$$
 and $||m_n()||_{\infty} = O(n^{-n'})$: (C1')

In the case where this holds with = 2, Ghosal and Chandra (1998) proved that (1) implies (2); our result is sharper because we have the equivalence.

Theorem 2 Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \mapsto [0; \infty)$ be such that $\Phi(n) \to \infty$. Suppose that for some $\in (1; 2]; q \in [1; \infty)$ and $"_0 \in (0; 1)$,

$$\Phi(n)(\mathbb{E}m_n^q())^{1-m_0} = o(1) \quad (resp:O(1)): \qquad (C2)$$

3. Consequences for martingales We now consider the single martingale case

$$S_j = X_1 + \dots + X_j$$

w.r.t. a filtration

$$\{\emptyset;\Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset :::$$

By definition, $E[X_j | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] = 0$.

For simplicity, let us only consider the case where

$$\Phi(n) = n^{p-2}(n);$$

where p > 1, ` is a function slowly varying at ∞ :

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\dot{(x)}}{\dot{(x)}}=1 \quad \forall > 0:$$

Notice that

$$S_n = n \to 0$$
 a.s. iff $P(\sup_{j \ge n} \frac{|S_j|}{j} > ") \to 0 \forall " > 0$:

To consider its rate of convergence, we shall use the condition that for some $e \in (1; 2]$ and $q \in [1; \infty)$ with q > (p - 1) = (-1),

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \|\underline{m}_n(;n)\|_q < \infty; \qquad (C3)$$

where $\underline{m}_n(; n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}[|X_j| |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}]$. Remark that (C3) holds evidently if for some constant C > 0 and all $j \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_j| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}] \le C \quad a:s: \qquad (C4)$$

Theorem 3 Let p > 1 and ≥ 0 be slowly varying at ∞ . Under (C3) or (C4), the following assertions are equivalent:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} P\{|X_j| > n''\} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0; \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{ \sup_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > n'' \} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0; \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{|S_n| > n''\} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$
(9)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} (n) P\{ \sup_{j \ge n} \frac{|S_j|}{j} > "\} < \infty \quad \forall " > 0:$$
(10)

Remark. If X_j are identically distributed, then (7) is equivalent to the moment condition

 $E|X_1|^{p}(|X_1|) < \infty:$

So Theorem 3 is an extension of the result of Baum and Katz (1965). When `is a constant, it was proved by Alsmeyer (1991).

Theorem 4 Let p > 1 and ≥ 0 be slowly varying at ∞ . Under (C3) or (C4), the following assertions are equivalent:

$$n^{p-1}(n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} P\{|X_j| > n''\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0;$$
(11)

$$n^{p-1}(n) P\{\sup_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > n''\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0;$$
(12)

$$n^{p-1}(n)P\{|S_n| > n''\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0:$$

(13)

$$n^{p-1}(n)P\{\sup_{j\geq n}\frac{|S_j|}{j} > "\} = o(1) \quad (resp: O(1)) \quad \forall " > 0:$$

(14)

4. Applications to sums of weighted random variables.

Example: Cesàro summation for martingale differences.

For a > -1, let $A_0^a = 1$ and $A_n^a = \frac{(+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n)}{n!}; \quad n \ge 1;$ Then $A_n^a \sim \frac{n^a}{\Gamma(a+1)}$ as $n \to \infty$; and $\frac{1}{A_n^a} \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n-j}^{a-1} = 1$. We consider convergence rates of

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{n-j}^{a-1} X_{j}}{A_{n}^{a}};$$

where $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); j \ge 0\}$ are martingale differences that are identically distributed.

For simplicity, suppose that for some (1;2]; C > 0 and all $j \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X_{j}| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}\right] \leq C \ a:s: \tag{15}$$

Theorem 5. Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); j \ge 0\}$ be identically distributed martingale differences satisfying (15). Let $p \ge 1$, and assume that

$$\begin{cases} E|X_{1}|^{\frac{p-1}{a+1}} < \infty & \text{if } 0 < a < 1 - \frac{1}{p'} \\ E|X_{1}|^{p} \log(e \lor |X_{1}|) < \infty & \text{if } a = 1 - \frac{1}{p'} \\ E|X_{1}|^{p} < \infty & \text{if } 1 - \frac{1}{p} < a \le 1 : \end{cases}$$
(16)

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} P\{ \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} A_{n-j}^{a-1} X_j \right| > A_n^{a\,"} \} < \infty \text{ for all } ">0: (17)$$

Remark: in the independent case, the result is due to Gut (1993).

5. Proofs of main results

The proofs are based on some maximal inequalities for martingales.

A. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_j| > ") \text{ and } P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > ")$$

for martingale differences (X_j) :

Lemma A Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be a finite sequence of martingale differences. Then for any ">0; $\in (1; 2]; q \geq 1$, and $L \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_{j}| > 2''\} \le P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_{j}| > ''\}$$
$$\leq P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |X_{j}| > \frac{|X_{j}|}{4(L+1)}\}$$
$$+ C''^{\frac{-q}{q+L}} (\mathbb{E}m_{n}^{q}(\cdot))^{\frac{1+L}{q+L}}; \quad (18)$$

where C = C(; q; L) > 0 is a constant depending only on ; q and L,

$$m_n() = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_j| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}]:$$

B. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j > ") \text{ and } \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} P(X_j > ")$$

for adapted sequences (X_j) :

Lemma B Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_j); 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ be an adapted sequence of r.v. Then for " > 0; > 0 and $q \geq 1$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} X_j > "\} \le \sum_{j=1}^n P\{X_j > "\}$$
$$\le (1 + "") P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} X_j > "\} + "" \in m_n^q();$$
where $m_n() = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_j| | \mathcal{F}_{j-1}].$

C. Relation between

$$P(\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > ") \text{ and } P(|S_n| > ")$$

for martingale differences (X_j) :

Lemma C Let $\{(X_j; \mathcal{F}_{|}); \infty \leq | \leq \}$ be a finite sequence of martingale differences. Then for " > 0; $\in (1; 2]$ and $q \geq 1$,

$$P\{\max_{1 \le j \le n} |S_j| > "\} \le 2P\{|S_n| > \frac{1}{2}\} + "^{-q} 2^{q(+1)}C^q(-) \in m_n^q(-);$$

where $m_n(-) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}[|X_j| |\mathcal{F}_{j-1}],$
 $C(-) = \left(18^{-3-2} = (-1)^{1-2}\right).$

FIRST Prev Next Last Go Back Full Screen Close (

11

Thank you!

Quansheng.Liu@univ-ubs.fr